

PERFECT TREES AND LARGE CARDINALS

κ is measurable iff

there is $j : V \rightarrow M$ with critical point κ

κ is λ -hypermeasurable iff in addition

$$H(\lambda) \subseteq M$$

κ is λ -supercompact iff in addition

$$M^\lambda \subseteq M$$

(Measurable = κ^+ -hypermeasurable =
 κ -supercompact.)

Question: Suppose κ is a large cardinal and G is P -generic over V . Is κ still a large cardinal in $V[G]$?

Lifting method (Silver):

Given $j : V \rightarrow M$ and P -generic G over V .

Let P^* be $j(P)$.

Find P^* -generic G^* over M s.t. $j[G] \subseteq G^*$.

Then $j : V \rightarrow M$ lifts to $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M[G^*]$.

If G^ belongs to $V[G]$ then j^* is $V[G]$ -definable, so κ is still measurable (and maybe more) in $V[G]$.*

Singular cardinal hypothesis

SCH: The GCH holds at singular, strong limit cardinals

Prikry: $\text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at a measurable}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{not SCH})$

Silver: $\text{Con}(\kappa \text{ is } \kappa^{++}\text{-supercompact}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at a measurable})$

Easy fact: $\text{GCH fails at measurable } \kappa \rightarrow \text{GCH fails at measure-one } \alpha < \kappa.$

So for Silver's theorem, must violate GCH not only at κ , but also below κ .

Silver's strategy: Iterated Cohen forcing

$\text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++}) = \alpha^{++}$ -product of α -Cohen forcing (with supports of size $< \alpha$)

P_0 is trivial

$P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha * \text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$, α inaccessible

$P_{\alpha+1} = P_\alpha$, otherwise

Inverse limits at singular ordinals, direct limits otherwise

$P = \text{Direct limit of } P_\alpha, \alpha \in \text{Ord}.$

P preserves cofinalities and forces not GCH at each inaccessible.

Assume GCH in V .

Let $j : V \rightarrow M$ witness κ^{++} -supercompactness.

Let G be P -generic.

Want generic G^* for $P^* = j(P)$, $j[G] \subseteq G^*$.

Write $P^* = P^*(< j(\kappa)) * P^*(j(\kappa)) * P^*(> j(\kappa))$.

1. (Below $j(\kappa)$) Easy to build generic $G^*(< j(\kappa))$ containing $j[G(< \kappa)] = G(< \kappa)$.
2. (At $j(\kappa)$, key step) Using supercompactness, the conditions in $j[G(\kappa)] \subseteq P^*(j(\kappa))$ have a common lower bound (*master condition*) p . Choose $G^*(j(\kappa))$ to include p .
3. (Above $j(\kappa)$) Using distributivity of $P(> \kappa)$, easy to show that $j[G(> \kappa)]$ generates a generic $G^*(> j(\kappa))$.

So $G^* = G^*(< j(\kappa)) * G^*(j(\kappa)) * G^*(> j(\kappa))$ contains $j[G]$, as desired.

Woodin: Can replace κ^{++} -supercompactness with κ^{++} -hyperstrength in the Silver strategy.

Subtle argument:

Derived measure: Use both $j : V \rightarrow M$ and its derived measure embedding $j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0$.

Leaving the universe: Force a generic $G_0^*(j_0(\kappa))$ over $V[G]$. κ is measurable in $V[G][G_0^*(j_0(\kappa))]$.

Generic modification: Use $G_0^*(j_0(\kappa))$ to obtain a generic $G^{*'}(j(\kappa))$ for $P^*(j(\kappa))$, which must be modified to get the desired generic $G^*(j(\kappa))$.

A new strategy: Iterated Sacks forcing

Let α be inaccessible.

α -Sacks: α -closed, binary trees of height α , with CUB-many splitting levels.

In the Silver strategy, replace $\text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ by $\text{Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$, the α^{++} -product of α -Sacks (with supports of size α).

Assume GCH in V .

Let $j : V \rightarrow M$ witness κ^{++} -hypermeasurability.

Let G be generic for $P = \text{iterated Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$.

Let $P^* = j(P)$.

We want a P^* -generic G^* s.t. $j[G] \subseteq G^*$.

The construction of G^* is now easy.

Do not need the derived measure, leaving the universe or generic modification.

α -Sacks has a weak form of α^+ -closure called *α -fusion*:

Write $S \leq_i T$ iff $S \leq T$ and S has the same i -th splitting level as T . Then any sequence $T_0 \geq_0 T_1 \geq_1 T_2 \geq_2 \cdots$ of length α has a lower bound.

α -Sacks is α -closed and α^{++} -cc.

α -fusion implies that α^+ is preserved.

If G is α -Sacks generic then $G = \{T \mid f \in [T]\}$ for some unique $f : \alpha \rightarrow 2$. We also say that f is α -Sacks generic.

Tuning fork lemma (F - Katie Thompson)

Suppose $j : V \rightarrow M$ with critical point κ and G is κ -Sacks generic. Then the intersection of the trees in $j[G]$ consists of exactly two $f_0, f_1 : j(\kappa) \rightarrow 2$, which agree below κ and disagree at κ . Moreover each f_i is $j(\kappa)$ -Sacks generic over M .

Reason: The splitting levels of $j(T)$, $T \in G$, form CUB subsets $j(C)$ of $j(\kappa)$. The intersection of the $j(C)$'s is $\{\kappa\}$. (We assume that j is given by an extender ultrapower.)

There is a version of the Tuning Fork Lemma for $\text{Sacks}(\kappa, \kappa^{++})$, giving:

Theorem 1. (F - Thompson) Assume GCH. Suppose $j : V \rightarrow M$ witnesses that κ is κ^{++} -hypermeasurable and G is generic for the iteration of $\text{Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$, α inaccessible. Then j lifts to $j^* : V[G] \rightarrow M[G^*]$, witnessing the failure of GCH at the measurable cardinal κ .

Using a result of Gitik, we also get:

$\text{Con}(o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}) \leftrightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at a measurable})$

The Tree Property and Large Cardinals

κ -Aronszajn tree = κ -tree with no κ -branch

$\text{TP}(\kappa)$: There is no κ -Aronszajn tree.

GCH holds at $\kappa \rightarrow \text{TP}(\kappa^{++})$ fails

Question: What is the consistency strength of $\text{TP}(\kappa^{++})$, κ measurable?

Lemma (F - Natasha Dobrinen) Assume GCH, κ is regular, λ is weakly compact, $\kappa < \lambda$ and G is generic for $\text{Sacksit}(\kappa, \lambda)$ = the λ -iteration of κ -Sacks (with supports of size κ). Then in $V[G]$, $\lambda = \kappa^{++}$ and $\text{TP}(\kappa^{++})$ holds.

Using a version of the Tuning Fork Lemma, we get:

Theorem 2. (F - Dobrinen) Assume GCH and $j : V \rightarrow M$ witnesses that κ is λ -hypermeasurable, where λ is weakly compact and greater than κ . Let G be generic for the iteration of $\text{Sacksit}(\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$, α an inaccessible limit of weakly compacts, λ_α the least weakly compact above α . Then in $V[G]$, κ is measurable and $\text{TP}(\kappa^{++})$ holds.

The upper bound given by Theorem 2 is nearly optimal:

$\text{Con}(\kappa \text{ is weakly compact hypermeasurable}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\text{TP}(\kappa^{++}), \kappa \text{ measurable}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\kappa \text{ is } < \text{ weakly compact hypermeasurable})$

Easton's theorem and large cardinals

Easton: $\text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at all regulars})$

Question: What is the consistency strength of GCH fails at all regulars and there is a measurable cardinal?

We saw:

$\text{Con}(\kappa^{++}\text{-hypermeasurable}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at a measurable})$

The same proof yields:

$\text{Con}(\kappa^{++}\text{-hypermeasurable}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\text{GCH fails at all regulars except at } \alpha^+, \alpha^{++} \text{ when } \alpha \text{ is inaccessible})$

Using $\text{Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ at inaccessibles and $\text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ elsewhere, one gets:

Theorem 3. (F - Radek Honzík) Assume GCH. There is a forcing P such that if G is P -generic then GCH fails at all regulars in $V[G]$. Moreover, if κ is κ^{++} -hypermeasurable in V , then κ remains measurable in $V[G]$.

One can also replace κ^{++} -hypermeasurable by $o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++}$, the optimal hypothesis.

Global Domination

So far: *Large cardinal preservation*

Now: *Internal consistency*

φ is *internally consistent* iff φ holds in an inner model (assuming large cardinals).

$\text{ICon}(\varphi) = \varphi$ is internally consistent.

Consistency result:

$\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{large cardinals}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \varphi)$

Internal consistency result:

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{large cardinals}) \rightarrow \text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \varphi)$

Examples:

(a) (Easton) $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC}) \rightarrow$

$\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{GCH fails at all regulars})$

(b) (F - Ondrejovič) $\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + 0^\# \text{ exists})$

$\rightarrow \text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{GCH fails at all regulars})$

(F - Dobrinen)

(a) $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{proper class of } \omega_1\text{-Erdős cards})$

$\rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global costat of ground model})$

(b) $\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \omega_1\text{-Erdős hyperstrong with a sufficiently large measurable above}) \rightarrow$

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global costat of ground model})$

(a) $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{no } L\text{-inaccessible})$

(b) $\sim \text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{no } L\text{-inaccessible})$

Internal consistency strength: What large cardinals are needed to prove $\text{ICon}(\varphi)$?

An application of perfect trees to internal consistency strength:

$d(\kappa)$ = dominating number for $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$

$$\kappa < d(\kappa) \leq 2^\kappa$$

Global Domination: $d(\kappa) < 2^\kappa$ for all κ .

Cummings-Shelah: $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global Domination})$

Proof uses $\text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++}) * \text{Hechlerit}(\alpha, \alpha^+)$
for all regular α and gives:

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \kappa^+$ -supercompact +
measurable above) \rightarrow
 $\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global Domination})$

Replacing $\text{Cohen}(\alpha, \alpha^{++}) * \text{Hechlerit}(\alpha, \alpha^+)$ with
 $\text{Sacks}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ for inaccessible α gives:

(F - Thompson)

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + 0^\# \text{ exists}) \rightarrow$

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global Domination except at } \alpha^+, \alpha \text{ inaccessible})$

And with $\text{Cohen}(\alpha^+, \alpha^{+++})$ followed by an interlacing of $\text{Hechlerit}(\alpha^+, \alpha^{++})$ with $\text{Sacksit}(\alpha, \alpha^{++})$ for inaccessible α , we get:

Theorem 4. (F - Thompson)

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + 0^\# \text{ exists}) \rightarrow$

$\text{ICon}(\text{ZFC} + \text{Global Domination})$

Conclusion

For large cardinal preservation and internal consistency, Sacks is better than Cohen!