

$C^{(n)}$ -cardinals

Joan Bagaria

ICREA and University of Barcelona

ESI Workshop on Large Cardinals and Descriptive Set Theory
Vienna, 14 – 27 June 2009

- 1 $C^{(n)}$ -cardinals
 - $C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals
 - $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals
- 2 Vopěnka's Principle
- 3 VP & category theory
 - Boundedness
 - Accessibility
 - Orthogonality

The $C^{(n)}$ -classes

Let $C^{(n)}$ denote the closed unbounded proper class of ordinals α that are Σ_n -correct in V . i.e., $V_\alpha \preceq_n V$.

Thus, $C^{(0)}$ is the class of all ordinals.

And $C^{(1)}$ is precisely the class of all uncountable cardinals α such that $V_\alpha = H(\alpha)$.

Thus, $C^{(1)}$ is Π_1 definable.

In general, the class $C^{(n)}$ is Π_n definable, for $n \geq 1$.

The $C^{(n)}$ -classes

The classes $C^{(n)}$, $n \in \omega$, form a **basis** for definable club proper classes of ordinals, in the sense that every Σ_n club proper class of ordinals contains $C^{(n)}$.

More generally, every club proper class C of ordinals that is Σ_n (i.e., Σ_n -definable with parameters) contains all $\alpha \in C^{(n)}$ that are greater than the rank of the parameters involved in some Σ_n definition of C .

$C^{(n)}$ -embeddings

When considering non-trivial elementary embeddings $j : V \rightarrow M$, with M transitive, one would like to have some control over where the image $j(\kappa)$ of the critical point κ goes.

A especially interesting case is when one wants $V_{j(\kappa)}$ to reflect some specific property of V or, more generally, when one wants $j(\kappa)$ to belong to a particular club proper class of ordinals.

Since the $C^{(n)}$, $n \in \omega$, form a basis for such classes, the problem can be reformulated as follows:

When can we have $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$, for a given $n \in \omega$?

$C^{(n)}$ -cardinals

Let us call a cardinal κ $C^{(n)}$ -measurable if there is an elementary embedding $j : V \rightarrow M$, some transitive class M , with critical point κ and with $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$.

Proposition

Every measurable cardinal is $C^{(n)}$ -measurable, for all n .

$C^{(n)}$ -cardinals

Proposition

- 1 Every strong cardinal is $C^{(n)}$ -strong, for all n .
- 2 Every supercompact cardinal is λ - $C^{(n)}$ -supercompact, for all $\lambda \in OR$ and all n .
Thus, every supercompact cardinal is $C^{(n)}$ -supercompact, for all n .

$C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals

For superstrong cardinals κ , the requirement that $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$, for $n > 1$, produces stronger large cardinal principles.

Definition

A cardinal κ is **$C^{(n)}$ -superstrong** if there exists an elementary embedding $j : V \rightarrow M$, M transitive, with critical point κ , $V_{j(\kappa)} \subseteq M$, and $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$.

$C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals

Proposition

- 1 Every superstrong cardinal is $C^{(1)}$ -superstrong.
- 2 For every $n \geq 1$, if κ is $C^{(n+1)}$ -superstrong, then there is a κ -complete normal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over κ such that

$$\{\alpha < \kappa : \kappa \text{ is } C^{(n)}\text{-superstrong}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Hence, the first $C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinal κ , if it exists, is not $C^{(n+1)}$ -superstrong.

$C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals

Proposition

If κ is 2^κ -supercompact and belongs to $C^{(n)}$, then there is a κ -complete normal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over κ such that the set of $C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals smaller than κ belongs to \mathcal{U} .

Extendible cardinals

Definition (Reinhardt, ca. 1970)

κ is **λ -extendible**, for $\lambda > \kappa$, if there exists an elementary embedding $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$, some μ , such that κ is the critical point of j and $j(\kappa) > \lambda$.

κ is **extendible** if it is λ -extendible for all $\lambda > \kappa$.

Extendible cardinals are supercompact, and the existence of, e.g., an almost-huge cardinal κ implies the existence of many extendible cardinals in V_κ .

$C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Definition

For a cardinal κ and $\lambda > \kappa$, we say that κ is λ - $C^{(n)}$ -extendible if there is an elementary embedding $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$, some μ , with critical point κ , and such that $j(\kappa) > \lambda$ and $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$.

We say that κ is $C^{(n)}$ -extendible if it is λ - $C^{(n)}$ -extendible for all $\lambda > \kappa$.

Proposition

Every extendible cardinal is $C^{(1)}$ -extendible.

$C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Proposition

For every $n \geq 1$, if κ is $C^{(n)}$ -extendible and $\kappa + 1$ - $C^{(n+1)}$ -extendible, then the set of $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals is unbounded below κ .

Hence, the first $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal κ , if it exists, is not $\kappa + 1$ - $C^{(n+1)}$ -extendible. In particular, the first extendible cardinal κ is not $\kappa + 1$ - $C^{(2)}$ -extendible.

Proposition

If κ is $\kappa + 1$ - $C^{(n)}$ -extendible, then κ is $C^{(n)}$ -superstrong, and there is a κ -complete normal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over κ such that the set of $C^{(n)}$ -superstrong cardinals smaller than κ belongs to \mathcal{U} .

Vopěnka's Principle

Definition (Vopěnka's Principle (VP). P. Vopěnka, ca. 1960)

There is no rigid proper class of graphs.

Equivalently, for every proper class \mathcal{C} of structures of the same type, there exist $A \neq B$ in \mathcal{C} such that A is elementarily embeddable into B .

VP and extendible cardinals

Theorem (M. Magidor, 1970)

VP implies that there exists a proper class of extendible cardinals.

VP can be characterized in terms of extendibility.

Theorem (Solovay-Reinhardt-Kanamori, 1978)

VP holds iff for every proper class A there is a cardinal κ that is λ -extendible for A , for every ordinal $\lambda > \kappa$.

i.e., there is an ordinal μ and an elementary embedding

$$j : \langle V_\lambda, \in, A \cap V_\lambda \rangle \rightarrow \langle V_\mu, \in, A \cap V_\mu \rangle$$

with critical point κ and $j(\kappa) > \lambda$.

Notation

If Γ is one of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_n, \widetilde{\Pi}_n, \widetilde{\Delta}_n$, where $n \in \omega$, and κ is an infinite cardinal, then we write $VP(\kappa, \Gamma)$ for the following assertion:

For every Γ proper class \mathcal{C} of structures of the same type τ such that both τ and the parameters of some Γ -definition of \mathcal{C} , if any, belong to $H(\kappa)$, and for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{C} \cap H(\kappa)$ that is elementarily embeddable into B .

If Γ is one of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_n, \widetilde{\Pi}_n, \widetilde{\Delta}_n$, or $\Sigma_n, \Pi_n, \Delta_n$, where $n \in \omega$, we write $VP(\Gamma)$ for the following statement:

For every Γ proper class \mathcal{C} of structures of the language of set theory with one (equivalently, finitely-many) additional 1-ary relation symbol, there exist distinct A and B in \mathcal{C} with an elementary embedding of A into B .

Vopěnka's Principle

Proposition

$VP(\kappa, \Sigma_1)$ holds for every uncountable cardinal κ .

Proposition

If $VP(\Pi_1)$ holds, then there exists a λ -supercompact cardinal κ , for some λ in $C^{(1)}$ greater than κ .

VP and supercompact cardinals

Theorem (B-Casacuberta-Mathias-Rosičky, 2008)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 $VP(\kappa, \Delta_2)$, for some κ .
- 2 $VP(\Delta_2)$.
- 3 $VP(\kappa, \Sigma_2)$, for some κ .
- 4 $VP(\Sigma_2)$.
- 5 *There exists a supercompact cardinal.*

VP and supercompact cardinals

Theorem (B-C-M-R, 2008)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 $VP(\kappa, \overset{\sim}{\Delta}_2)$, for a proper class of cardinals κ .
- 2 $VP(\overset{\sim}{\Delta}_2)$.
- 3 $VP(\kappa, \overset{\sim}{\Sigma}_2)$, for a proper class of cardinals κ .
- 4 $VP(\overset{\sim}{\Sigma}_2)$.
- 5 *There exists a proper class of supercompact cardinals.*

What about the more complex classes, e.g., the $\overset{\sim}{\Sigma}_3$?

VP and $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Theorem

For every $n \geq 1$, if κ is a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal, then $VP(\kappa, \Sigma_{n+2})$ holds.

Theorem (B-C-M-R, 2008)

Let $n \geq 1$, and suppose that $VP(\Sigma_{n+1} \wedge \Pi_{n+1})$ holds. Then there exists a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible cardinal.

VP and $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Corollary

The following are equivalent for $n \geq 1$:

- 1 $VP(\Sigma_{n+1} \wedge \Pi_{n+1})$.
- 2 $VP(\kappa, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{n+2})$, for some κ .
- 3 There exists a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal.

Corollary

The following are equivalent:

- 1 $VP(\Sigma_3)$.
- 2 $VP(\kappa, \widetilde{\Sigma}_3)$, for some κ .
- 3 There exists an extendible cardinal.

VP and $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Corollary

The following are equivalent:

- 1 VP .
- 2 $VP(\kappa, \Sigma_n)$ holds for a proper class of cardinals κ , for every n .
- 3 For every n , there exists a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal.
- 4 For every n , there is a stationary proper class of $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals. i.e., every definable club proper class contains a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal.

Bounded categories

A full subcategory \mathcal{D} of a category \mathcal{C} is called **dense** in \mathcal{C} if every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a canonical colimit of objects from \mathcal{D} .

Examples

- 1 In **Set** every singleton is dense.
- 2 In **Gra**, the one-point graph with no edges and the two-point graph with only one edge between them form a dense subcategory.
- 3 Finitely-generated Abelian groups are dense in **Ab**.

\mathcal{C} is **bounded** if it has a small (i.e., a set) dense subcategory. Thus **Set**, **Gra**, and **Ab** are bounded. But **Top** is not.

Question

When is a category bounded?

A small full subcategory \mathcal{A} of a category \mathcal{C} is called **colimit-dense** if every object of \mathcal{C} is a colimit of a diagram in \mathcal{A} .

Question

Is every category that has a colimit-dense subcategory bounded?

Theorem (Adámek, Rosický, Trnková, 1990)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 A category is bounded iff it has a colimit-dense subcategory.
- 2 VP.

Accessible categories

Definition (Makkai - Paré, 1989)

A category \mathcal{K} is **accessible** if it has λ -directed colimits (some regular cardinal λ), and it has a set \mathcal{A} of λ -presentable objects such that every object is a λ -directed colimit of objects from \mathcal{A} .

Definition (Adámek - Rosický, 1994)

A formula of L_λ is called **basic** if it has the form $\forall x(\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))$, where φ and ψ are disjunctions of formulas of type $\exists y \zeta(x, y)$ in which ζ is a conjunction of atomic formulas.

A **basic theory** is a theory of basic sentences.

The **accessible categories** are the categories equivalent to categories of models of basic theories.

Accessible categories

Examples

- 1 For every theory T in L_λ , the category of models of T and homomorphisms is accessible.
- 2 **Top** is not accessible.
- 3 The category of complete metric spaces is accessible.

Accessible categories

Under VP, boundedness can be easily characterized.

Theorem (Fisher, 1987)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 *A category is bounded and has λ -directed colimits for some regular cardinal λ iff it is accessible.*
- 2 *VP.*

An Application of VP in Algebraic Topology

Question (D. Farjoun, ca. 1990)

Is every functor on simplicial sets that is idempotent up to homotopy equivalent to f -localization for some map?

Theorem (Casacuberta – Scevenels – Smith, Adv. Math. 2005)

If there are no measurable cardinals, then there is a counterexample.

If VP holds, then the answer is yes.

Orthogonality

Definition

A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ and an object X are called **orthogonal** in a category \mathcal{C} if for each $g: A \rightarrow X$ there is a unique $g': B \rightarrow X$ with $g' \circ f = g$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \forall g \downarrow & & \swarrow \exists! g' \\ & & X \end{array}$$

Small-orthogonality classes

Definition

A **small-orthogonality class** in a category \mathcal{C} is the class of objects orthogonal to some set of morphisms

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f_i: P_i \rightarrow Q_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

Boundedness and orthogonality for definable categories

Theorem (B-C-M-R, 2009)

If there is a proper class of $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals, then

- 1 Every $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{n+1} \wedge \widetilde{\Pi}_{n+1}$ full subcategory of an accessible category is bounded.*
- 2 Every $\widetilde{\Delta}_n$ orthogonality class in an accessible category is a small-orthogonality class.*