

Aronszajn trees and Kurepa trees

Marlene Koelbing

University of Vienna
Kurt Gödel Research Center

14.12.2021

Let λ be an infinite cardinal.

- A λ -tree is a tree $(T, <_T)$ of height λ all whose levels are smaller than λ .

Definition

- 1 A λ -Aronszajn tree is a λ -tree which has no branch.
- 2 A λ^+ -tree T is called *special* if there exists a function $f: T \rightarrow \lambda$ such that for $s, t \in T$, if $s <_T t$, then $f(s) \neq f(t)$.
- 3 A λ -Kurepa tree is a λ -tree which has more than λ many branches.

Definition

- ① A λ -Aronszajn tree is a λ -tree which has no branch.
- ② A λ^+ -tree T is called *special* if there exists a function $f: T \rightarrow \lambda$ such that for $s, t \in T$, if $s <_T t$, then $f(s) \neq f(t)$.
 - i.e., a specializing function is injective on linearly ordered sets
 - a branch would induce an injection from λ^+ to λ :
special trees have no branches!
 - a λ^+ -tree is special \iff it is the union of λ many antichains
 - one of the λ many antichains would have size λ^+
 - Therefore, a Suslin tree cannot be special
 - ... you can also view it in the following way: if there were a special Suslin tree, forcing with it would result in a special tree which has a branch, so λ^+ would be collapsed, contradicting the λ^+ -c.c. of the Suslin tree.

Definition

- ① A λ -Aronszajn tree is a λ -tree which has no branch.
- ② A λ^+ -tree T is called *special* if there exists a function $f: T \rightarrow \lambda$ such that for $s, t \in T$, if $s <_T t$, then $f(s) \neq f(t)$.

Fact

- ① There are no \aleph_0 -Aronszajn trees. (König's Lemma)
- ② There are *always* \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees.
- ③ the existence of \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees is independent of ZFC:
 - *under CH*, there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree
 - *in Mitchell's model*, the tree property on \aleph_2 holds (needs a weakly compact cardinal)
- ④ If $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$, then there exists a special λ^{++} -Aronszajn tree.
- ⑤ If κ is inaccessible, then
there is a κ -Aronszajn tree $\iff \kappa$ is not weakly compact.

Definition

- A λ -Kurepa tree is a λ -tree which has more than λ many branches.

Fact

- 1 There is an \aleph_0 -Kurepa tree (namely $2^{<\omega}$).
- 2 the existence of \aleph_1 -Kurepa trees is independent of ZFC:
 - under \diamond^+ (i.e., in particular in $V = L$), there exists an \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree
 - it is consistent that there exists no \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree (needs an inaccessible cardinal)

Main Theorem

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which for all $0 < n \in \omega$

*there exists an \aleph_n -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_n -Aronszajn trees are special,
and there exists no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree.*

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special,
and there exists no \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree.*

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,

all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special,

and there exists no \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree and no \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree.

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

Theorem (Baumgartner-Malitz-Reinhardt)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

Theorem (Baumgartner-Malitz-Reinhardt)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree, (always true)

all \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees are special.

Specializing \aleph_1 -trees

Definition

Let T be an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree. Let $\mathbb{S}(T)$ be the forcing consisting of conditions p satisfying the following:

- ① $p: T \rightarrow \omega$ is a finite partial function
- ② if $s, t \in \text{dom}(p)$ and $s <_T t$, then $p(s) \neq p(t)$.

The order is given by $q \leq p$ if $q \supseteq p$.

- ① For $t \in T$, the set of conditions p with $t \in \text{dom}(p)$ is dense
 - the generic function $f: T \rightarrow \omega$ is total,
 - the **generic function** is a **specializing** function.
- ② if T has a branch b , then $\mathbb{S}(T)$ adds an injection $f: b \rightarrow \omega$
 - ω_1 is collapsed to ω .
- ③ If T is Aronszajn, then $\mathbb{S}(T)$ does not collapse cardinals
 - **it has the c.c.c.** (difficult)

Theorem (Baumgartner-Malitz-Reinhardt)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree, (always true)
all \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees are special.

- ① Start with a model of $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$.
- ② Use a finite support iteration of length ω_2 to specialize \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees.
- ③ The iteration is c.c.c. (f.s.i. of c.c.c. forcings is c.c.c.)
- ④ $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$ stays true during the iteration.
- ⑤ Since \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees have size \aleph_1 , there are only \aleph_2 many.
- ⑥ Use a **bookkeeping** to specialize all \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees.
- ⑦ If T is special, then it stays special.

Theorem (Baumgartner-Malitz-Reinhardt)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree, (always true)

all \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees are special.

Back to \aleph_2 -trees:

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

In fact, their aim was to get a model of

$CH +$ no \aleph_2 -Suslin tree.

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

Specializing \aleph_1 -Aronszajn trees:

Definition

Let T be an \aleph_1 -Aronszajn tree. Let $\mathbb{S}(T)$ be the forcing consisting of conditions p satisfying the following:

- ① $p: T \rightarrow \omega$ is a **finite** partial function
- ② if $s, t \in \text{dom}(p)$ and $s <_T t$, then $p(s) \neq p(t)$.

The order is given by $q \leq p$ if $q \supseteq p$.

- $\mathbb{S}(T)$ has the **c.c.c.**

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

Specializing \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees:

Definition

Let T be an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree. Let $\mathbb{S}(T)$ be the forcing consisting of conditions p satisfying the following:

- ① $p: T \rightarrow \omega_1$ is a **countable** partial function
- ② if $s, t \in \text{dom}(p)$ and $s <_T t$, then $p(s) \neq p(t)$.

The order is given by $q \leq p$ if $q \supseteq p$.

- Want $\mathbb{S}(T)$ to have the \aleph_2 -c.c. (needs a **weakly compact**)

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

Lemma (Laver-Shelah)

*If κ_2 is **weakly compact**, then in the extension by $\text{col}(\aleph_1, < \kappa_2)$,
 $\kappa_2 = \aleph_2$ and $\mathbb{S}(T)$ has the \aleph_2 -c.c. for each \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree T .*

- ① Use a countable support iteration of length ω_3 and bookkeeping to specialize all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees.
- ② It is shown “by hand” that also the iteration has the \aleph_2 -c.c.
- ③ Warning: there is no iteration theorem for countable support iterations with \aleph_2 -c.c. iterands.

Theorem (Laver-Shelah)

There exists a model of ZFC in which

*there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special.*

There exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree, because:

- ① $\text{col}(\aleph_1, <\kappa_2)$ collapses 2^{\aleph_0} to \aleph_1 .
- ② Both $\text{col}(\aleph_1, <\kappa_2)$ and the subsequent iteration are σ -closed, so there are no new reals added.
- ③ So CH holds in the final model (which implies its existence).

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,

all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special,

and there exists no \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree and no \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree.

How to avoid Kurepa trees?

Theorem (Silver)

*Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, <\lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
There is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in the extension by \mathbb{L} .*

Lemma (Silver)

Let \mathbb{R} be a forcing which is $<\aleph_n$ -closed. Then \mathbb{R} does not add branches to \aleph_n -trees.

How to avoid Kurepa trees?

Theorem (Silver)

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, <\lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
There is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in the extension by \mathbb{L} .

Lemma (Silver)

Let \mathbb{R} be a forcing which is $<\aleph_n$ -closed. Then \mathbb{R} does not add branches to \aleph_n -trees.

Lemma (Unger)

In V , let

- \mathbb{P} be a forcing which has the \aleph_n -c.c., and
- \mathbb{R} be a forcing which is $<\aleph_n$ -closed.

In $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, let T be an \aleph_n -tree. Then forcing with \mathbb{R} over $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ does not add branches to T .

How to avoid Kurepa trees?

Theorem (Silver)

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, <\lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
There is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in the extension by \mathbb{L} .

The following is a generalization of Silver's Theorem:

Lemma

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, <\lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
In $V^{\mathbb{L}}$, let \mathbb{Q} be a forcing of size $\leq \aleph_n$ such that

either \mathbb{Q} is $<\aleph_n$ -distributive

or \mathbb{Q} has the \aleph_n -c.c.

Then there is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.

Lemma

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
In $V^{\mathbb{L}}$, let \mathbb{Q} be a forcing of size $\leq \aleph_n$ such that

either \mathbb{Q} is $< \aleph_n$ -distributive

or \mathbb{Q} has the \aleph_n -c.c.

Then there is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.

- $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \lambda) = \prod_{\alpha < \lambda} \text{col}(\aleph_n, \alpha) = \mathbb{L}_{< \mu} * \mathbb{L}_{[\mu, \lambda)}$, with
 - $\mathbb{L}_{< \mu} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \mu) = \prod_{\alpha < \mu} \text{col}(\aleph_n, \alpha)$,
 - $\mathbb{L}_{[\mu, \lambda)} = \prod_{\mu \leq \alpha < \lambda} \text{col}(\aleph_n, \alpha)$.
- Let T be an \aleph_n -tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.
- We can fix $\mu < \lambda$ such that
 - $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{L}_{< \mu}}$, and
 - $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_{< \mu} * \mathbb{Q}}$.
- Note that, in $V^{\mathbb{L}_{< \mu} * \mathbb{Q}}$, we have
 - $2^{\aleph_n} < \lambda$,
 - and hence $||T|| < \lambda$.

Lemma

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$.
In $V^{\mathbb{L}}$, let \mathbb{Q} be a forcing of size $\leq \aleph_n$ such that

either \mathbb{Q} is $< \aleph_n$ -distributive

or \mathbb{Q} has the \aleph_n -c.c.

Then there is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.

- $V^{\mathbb{L} < \mu * \mathbb{Q}} \models |[T]| < \lambda$.
- $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L} < \mu * \mathbb{L}_{[\mu, \lambda]}$.
- Now $\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}$ is forcing equivalent to $\mathbb{L} < \mu * \mathbb{Q} * \check{\mathbb{L}}_{[\mu, \lambda]}$.
 - ① If \mathbb{Q} is $< \aleph_n$ -distributive, $\mathbb{L}_{[\mu, \lambda]}$ is $< \aleph_n$ -closed in $V^{\mathbb{L} < \mu * \mathbb{Q}}$.
So, by Silver's Lemma, it does not add branches to T .
 - ② If \mathbb{Q} has the \aleph_n -c.c., Unger's Lemma implies that $\mathbb{L}_{[\mu, \lambda]}$ does not add branches to T .
- Thus T has less than $\lambda = \aleph_{n+1}$ many branches in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$,
so T is not a Kurepa tree.

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,

all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special,

and there exists no \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree and no \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree.

- Start with a ground model in which
 - κ_2 is **supercompact**, and
 - κ_3 is **weakly compact**, with $\kappa_3 > \kappa_2$.
- Force with $\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 := \text{col}(\aleph_1, < \kappa_2) * \text{col}(\kappa_2, < \kappa_3)$,
so κ_2 becomes \aleph_2 , and κ_3 becomes \aleph_3 .
- Then use forcings $\mathbb{S}(T)$ to **specialize** all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees.
 - Use a countable support iteration of length ω_3 and bookkeeping to get a model in which all of them are special.
 - Denote the specializing iteration by \mathbb{S}_{ω_3}
- CH holds in final model: so there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree.

Theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which

there exists an \aleph_2 -Aronszajn tree,

all \aleph_2 -Aronszajn trees are special,

and there exists no \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree and no \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree.

- All iterands $\mathbb{S}(T)$ and the whole iteration \mathbb{S}_{ω_3} have the \aleph_2 -c.c.
 - Use the **supercompact** embedding of κ_2 together with a combinatorial argument (**quite involved**).
- It remains to show that in the final model $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$,
 - ① there are no \aleph_1 -Kurepa trees, and
 - ② there are no \aleph_2 -Kurepa trees.

No \aleph_1 -Kurepa trees

Lemma (Capturing \aleph_1 -trees by suitable subforcings)

Let T be an \aleph_1 -tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2}$, there exists \mathbb{Q} such that

- 1 \mathbb{Q} is a regular subforcing of $\mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}$ such that $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{Q}}$,
- 2 $|\mathbb{Q}| < \aleph_2$,
- 3 \mathbb{Q} is σ -closed, and
- 4 $(\mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3})/\mathbb{Q}$ is σ -closed.

Lemma

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$. In $V^{\mathbb{L}}$, let \mathbb{Q} be a forcing of size $\leq \aleph_n$ such that

(either) \mathbb{Q} is $< \aleph_n$ -distributive

Then there is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.

No \aleph_1 -Kurepa treesLemma (Capturing \aleph_1 -trees by suitable subforcings)

Let T be an \aleph_1 -tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$. Then, in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2}$, there exists \mathbb{Q} such that

- 1 \mathbb{Q} is a regular subforcing of $\mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}$ such that $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{Q}}$,
- 2 $|\mathbb{Q}| < \aleph_2$,
- 3 \mathbb{Q} is σ -closed, and
- 4 $(\mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3})/\mathbb{Q}$ is σ -closed.

- Apply the generalization of Silver's Theorem: T is not an \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{Q}}$.
- By (4), using Silver's Lemma, $(\mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3})/\mathbb{Q}$ does not add branches to T .
- So T is not an \aleph_1 -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$.

No \aleph_2 -Kurepa trees

We use the following lemma:

Lemma (Mitchell)

Let \mathbb{P} be a forcing where $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ has the \aleph_n -c.c.. Then \mathbb{P} does not add branches to trees of height \aleph_n .

- Let $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$ be an \aleph_2 -tree.
- Since \mathbb{S}_{ω_3} has the \aleph_2 -c.c., there exists $\alpha < \omega_3$ such that $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_\alpha}$.

Lemma

Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal and $\mathbb{L} = \text{col}(\aleph_n, < \lambda)$ with $n \geq 1$. In $V^{\mathbb{L}}$, let \mathbb{Q} be a forcing of size $\leq \aleph_n$ such that

(or) \mathbb{Q} has the \aleph_n -c.c.

*Then there is no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L} * \mathbb{Q}}$.*

No \aleph_2 -Kurepa trees

We use the following lemma:

Lemma (Mitchell)

Let \mathbb{P} be a forcing where $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ has the \aleph_n -c.c.. Then \mathbb{P} does not add branches to trees of height \aleph_n .

- Let $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$ be an \aleph_2 -tree.
- Since \mathbb{S}_{ω_3} has the \aleph_2 -c.c., there exists $\alpha < \omega_3$ such that $T \in V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_\alpha}$.
- Since $|\mathbb{S}_\alpha| \leq \aleph_2$, by the generalization of Silver's Theorem, T is not an \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_\alpha}$.
- $\mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}/\mathbb{S}_\alpha$ has the \aleph_2 -c.c., and even $\mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}/\mathbb{S}_\alpha \times \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}/\mathbb{S}_\alpha$ has the \aleph_2 -c.c., so $\mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}/\mathbb{S}_\alpha$ does not add branches to T .
- So T is not an \aleph_2 -Kurepa tree in $V^{\mathbb{L}_2 * \mathbb{L}_3 * \mathbb{S}_{\omega_3}}$.

Theorem

It follows from ω -many supercompact cardinals that there exists a model of ZFC in which for all $0 < n \in \omega$

*there exists an \aleph_n -Aronszajn tree,
all \aleph_n -Aronszajn trees are special,
and there exists no \aleph_n -Kurepa tree.*

- Use an iteration of Lévy collapses to make the supercompact cardinals become the \aleph_n 's.
- Use forcings to specialize all \aleph_n -Aronszajn trees in a mixed support iteration.
- The iteration can be factorized into a forcing which is $<\aleph_n$ -closed, followed by a forcing which has the \aleph_n -c.c.
- Capture an \aleph_n -tree with a subforcing of size at most \aleph_n .
- Show that it is not an \aleph_n -Kurepa tree here.
- Show that the quotient forcing does not add branches.

Theorem

It follows from a proper class of supercompact cardinals that there exists a model of ZFC in which for all regular cardinals κ

there exists a κ^+ -Aronszajn tree,

all κ^+ -Aronszajn trees are special,

and there exists no κ^+ -Kurepa tree.

- Use an Easton support iteration to combine the forcings which work for ω -many successive regular cardinals.

Thank you!