1 / 27

Silver dichotomy for countable cofinalities

Vincenzo Dimonte

September 11, 2018

Joint work with Xianghui Shi

Open problems

Previously...



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

3 / 27

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in λ^2

< □ ▶ < 큔 ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ 클 ∽ 의 < ♡ 3 / 27

3 / 27

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in λ^2 , or equivalently in $\omega\lambda$

・ロト・雪・・ヨト ・ヨー うへの

3 / 27

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in ${}^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in ${}^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆差▶ ◆差▶ ─差

3 / 27

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in $^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in $^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ or $V_{\lambda+1}$

< □ ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ 클 ∽ 의 < ↔ 3 / 27

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in $^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in $^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ or $V_{\lambda+1}$.

Many results in classical descriptive set theory hold also in this setting

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in $^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in $^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ or $V_{\lambda+1}$.

Many results in classical descriptive set theory hold also in this setting.

In general, the results that are dependent to some tree-structure generalize very well

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

・ロト・(型ト・(ヨト・(ヨト))
・(ヨト・(ヨト))

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in $^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in $^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ or $V_{\lambda+1}$.

Many results in classical descriptive set theory hold also in this setting.

In general, the results that are dependent to some tree-structure generalize very well.

 $IO(\lambda)$ has an influence on this setting in the same way that AD has an influence on classical descriptive set theory

When λ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω , descriptive set theory can be done in $^{\lambda}2$, or equivalently in $^{\omega}\lambda$, $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ or $V_{\lambda+1}$.

Many results in classical descriptive set theory hold also in this setting.

In general, the results that are dependent to some tree-structure generalize very well.

 $IO(\lambda)$ has an influence on this setting in the same way that AD has an influence on classical descriptive set theory.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Theorem (Silver, *Counting the number of equivalence classes of borel and coanalytic equivalence relations.* 1980)

Let X be a Polish space and $E \subseteq X^2$ be a coanalytic equivalence relation on X. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- *E* has at most countably many classes;
- there is a continuous injection φ : ^ω2 → X such that for distinct x, y ∈ ^ω2 ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

◆□ ▶ ◆圖 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ─ 臣

5 / 27

Is this true also for the generalized Baire space?

Theorem (Friedman, Kulikov 2014)

Suppose V = L and κ inaccessible. Then the order $\langle \mathcal{P}(\kappa), \subset \rangle$ can be embedded into the set of Borel equivalence relations on 2^{κ} strictly below the identity, ordered with Borel reducibility.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

6 / 27

Theorem (Silver, 1980)

Let *E* be a coanalytic equivalence relation on $^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- E has at most countably many classes;
- there is a continuous injection φ : 2^ω → ^ω2 such that for distinct x, y ∈ 2^ω ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

・ロト・四ト・ヨト ・ヨー うへの

7 / 27

Theorem?

Let *E* be a coanalytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- E has at most countably many classes;
- there is a continuous injection φ : Π_{n∈ω}λ_n → Π_{n∈ω}λ_n such that for distinct x, y ∈ Π_{n∈ω}λ_n ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

・ロト・四ト・ヨト ・ヨー うへの

8 / 27

Theorem?

Let *E* be a coanalytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- *E* has at most λ many classes;
- there is a continuous injection φ : Π_{n∈ω}λ_n → Π_{n∈ω}λ_n such that for distinct x, y ∈ Π_{n∈ω}λ_n ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

・ロト < 四ト < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 <</p>

9 / 27

Theorem! (D.-Shi)

Let λ_n be measurable cardinals. Let *E* be a coanalytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- *E* has at most λ many classes;
- there is a continuous injection φ : Π_{n∈ω}λ_n → Π_{n∈ω}λ_n such that for distinct x, y ∈ Π_{n∈ω}λ_n ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

Open problems

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● のへで

10 / 27

A theorem by Shelah appears!

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

11 / 27

"Definition"

Let E be an equivalence relation on some product space

<ロト <問 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 臣

11 / 27

"Definition"

Let *E* be an equivalence relation on some product space. We say that *E* has the "singleton property" if for all x, y, if they differ *only* in one coordinate, then $\neg xEy$

"Definition"

Let *E* be an equivalence relation on some product space. We say that *E* has the "singleton property" if for all x, y, if they differ *only* in one coordinate, then $\neg xEy$.

Theorem (Shelah, *Can the fundamental (homotopy) group of a space be the rationals*? 1988)

If *E* is a co-analytic equivalence relation on ^{ω}2 with the singleton property, then there is a continuous injection $\varphi : {}^{\omega}2 \rightarrow {}^{\omega}2$ such that for distinct $x, y \in {}^{\omega}2 \neg \varphi(x)E\varphi(y)$.

"Definition"

Let *E* be an equivalence relation on some product space. We say that *E* has the "singleton property" if for all x, y, if they differ *only* in one coordinate, then $\neg xEy$.

Theorem (Shelah On nice equivalence relations on $^{\lambda}2$ 2004)

Let λ_n be measurable cardinals. If E is a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ with the singleton property, then there is a continuous injection $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that for distinct $x, y \in \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$.

Open problems

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● のへで

13 / 27

G₀-dichotomy

Fix a dense subset S of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element

Fix a dense subset S of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate

Fix a dense subset S of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem (*G*₀-dichotomy, (maybe Kechris-Solecki-Todorcevic *Borel chromatic numbers*, 1999))

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆注 > ◆注 > □ 注

14 / 27

Fix a dense subset *S* of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of *S* and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem (*G*₀-dichotomy, (maybe Kechris-Solecki-Todorcevic *Borel chromatic numbers*, 1999))

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

• there is a (Borel) \aleph_0 -colouring of G

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

14 / 27

Fix a dense subset *S* of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of *S* and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem (*G*₀-dichotomy, (maybe Kechris-Solecki-Todorcevic *Borel chromatic numbers*, 1999))

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a (Borel) \aleph_0 -colouring of G;
- there is a continuous function from ${}^{\omega}2$ to itself that is a homomorphism from G_0 to G

Fix a dense subset *S* of ${}^{<\omega}2$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of *S* and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem (*G*₀-dichotomy, (maybe Kechris-Solecki-Todorcevic *Borel chromatic numbers*, 1999))

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a (Borel) \aleph_0 -colouring of G;
- there is a continuous function from $^{\omega}2$ to itself that is a homomorphism from G_0 to G.

This actually generalizes nicely, with almost the same proof.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Fix a dense subset S of $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ that intersects every level in exactly one element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem?

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a ℵ₀-colouring of *G*;
- there is a continuous function from Π_{n∈ω}λ_n to itself that is a homomorphism from G₀ to G.

This actually generalizes nicely, with almost the same proof.

<ロト < ② ト < 目ト < 目ト 目 のの() 15 / 27

Fix a dense subset S of $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ that intersects every level n in exactly κ_{n-1} element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem?

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a ℵ₀-colouring of G;
- there is a continuous function from Π_{n∈ω}λ_n to itself that is a homomorphism from G₀ to G.

This actually generalizes nicely, with almost the same proof.

<ロト < 示 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト ○ ミ の Q (16 / 27

Fix a dense subset S of $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ that intersects every level n in exactly κ_{n-1} element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem?

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a λ-colouring of G;
- there is a continuous function from Π_{n∈ω}λ_n to itself that is a homomorphism from G₀ to G.

This actually generalizes nicely, with almost the same proof.

<ロト < 示 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト の < () 17 / 27 Fix a dense subset S of $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ that intersects every level n in exactly κ_{n-1} element. Let G_0 be the directed graph that couples two elements if they start with an element of S and differ only in the next coordinate.

Theorem! (D.-Shi)

Let G be an analytic directed graph on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- there is a λ-colouring of G (actually, something more complicated, but equivalent for graphs that are the complement of an equivalence relation);
- there is a continuous function from $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ to itself that is a homomorphism from G_0 to G.

This actually generalizes nicely, with almost the same proof.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ● □

Open problems

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

19 / 27

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

19 / 27

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes, or there is a continuous function $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that $x, y \in G_0$ iff $\neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$

19 / 27

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes, or there is a continuous function $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that $x, y \in G_0$ iff $\neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$. The problem is now that φ is possibly not injective Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes, or there is a continuous function $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that $x, y \in G_0$ iff $\neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$. The problem is now that φ is possibly not injective.

Classically, from the G_0 -dichotomy to Silver Dichotomy we use the meagre-comeagre structure of ${}^\omega 2$

<ロ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes, or there is a continuous function $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that $x, y \in G_0$ iff $\neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$. The problem is now that φ is possibly not injective.

Classically, from the G_0 -dichotomy to Silver Dichotomy we use the meagre-comeagre structure of ${}^{\omega}2$. This creates many problems in $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$

Now, let *E* be a co-analytic equivalence relation on $\prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$. Then its complement *G* is an analytic directed graph, therefore either *E* has $\leq \lambda$ equivalence classes, or there is a continuous function $\varphi : \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n \to \prod_{n \in \omega} \lambda_n$ such that $x, y \in G_0$ iff $\neg \varphi(x) E \varphi(y)$. The problem is now that φ is possibly not injective.

Classically, from the G_0 -dichotomy to Silver Dichotomy we use the meagre-comeagre structure of ${}^{\omega}2$. This creates many problems in $\Pi_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$, but Shelah's theorem can save us: the complement of G_0 has the singleton property, and we can use a similar argument to finally prove the Silver Dichotomy.

19 / 27

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣;

20 / 27

Open problems

A look into the future...

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● のへで

21 / 27

Can we get rid of the measurable cardinals?

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

21 / 27

Can we get rid of the measurable cardinals?

Are measurable cardinals the key to understand the Baire structure of $^{\lambda}2?$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

22 / 27

One of the main points of the Axiom of Determinacy is that it generalizes regularity properties for all subsets of reals

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆注 > ◆注 > □ 注

22 / 27

One of the main points of the Axiom of Determinacy is that it generalizes regularity properties for all subsets of reals. This is true also for Silver Dichotomy:

Theorem (AD, Hjorth, A dichotomy for the definable universe, 1995)

Let *E* be an equivalence relation on ${}^{\omega}2$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- the classes of *E* are well-ordered;
- there is a continuous injection φ : ^ω2 → ^ω2 such that for distinct x, y ∈ ^ω2 ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

One of the main points of I0 is that it generalizes AD-like results to higher cardinal



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

23 / 27

One of the main points of I0 is that it generalizes AD-like results to higher cardinal. Does it work also in this case?

Open problem $IO(\lambda)$

Let *E* be an equivalence relation on $^{\lambda}2$. Is it true that exactly one of the following holds?

- the classes of *E* are well-ordered;
- there is a continuous injection φ : ^λ2 → ^λ2 such that for distinct x, y ∈ ^λ2 ¬φ(x)Eφ(y).

Forbidden slide 1 (not enough time)

Brief summary of proof of Shelah's result.

Consider the double diagonal Prikry forcing \mathbb{P} that adds *two* Prikry sequences in λ . This forcing has two important characteristics:

- if *M* is a model of cardinality λ, then there is a *M*-generic set for ℙ in *V*;
- only the tails of the generic are meaningful, so changing just one coordinate maintain the genericity.

G₀-dichotomy

Forbidden slide 2 (not enough time)

The fact that *E* is co-analytic is also important: this means that the formula that defines *E* is absolute between models that contain V_{λ} .

So the proof goes like this: pick M small model that contains everything. If there is a condition of \mathbb{P} that forces that the two elements of the generic are E-related, then also those in V are E-related. Switching one coordinate we do the same, but this contradicts the singleton property or the fact that E is an equivalence relation.

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨト

Using generic absoluteness, we have a partial result:

Theorem

```
Suppose IO(\lambda), as witness by j, and let (\lambda_n)_{n \in \omega} be the critical sequence of j
```

Using generic absoluteness, we have a partial result:

Theorem

Suppose IO(λ), as witness by j, and let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be the critical sequence of j. Suppose that all subsets of $V_{\lambda+1}$ are U(j)-representable

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

26 / 27

Using generic absoluteness, we have a partial result:

Theorem

Suppose IO(λ), as witness by j, and let $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\omega}$ be the critical sequence of j. Suppose that all subsets of $V_{\lambda+1}$ are U(j)-representable. Then if $E \in L(V_{\lambda+1})$ is an equivalence relation with the singleton property, there is a continuous injection $\prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n \to \prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n$ such that for distinct $x, y \in \prod_{n\in\omega}\lambda_n \neg \varphi(x)E\varphi(y)$.

G₀-dichotomy

Open problems

Thanks for watching.

< □ ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ < 클 ▶ ミ = 少 Q (C) 27 / 27