

# Topological homogeneity and infinite powers

Andrea Medini

Kurt Gödel Research Center  
University of Vienna

June 24, 2015

# Homogeneity an ubiquitous



# notion in mathematics is...

# Topological homogeneity

Let  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  denote the group of homeomorphisms of  $X$ .

A space is homogeneous if all points “look alike”:

## Definition

A topological space  $X$  is *homogeneous* if for every  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)$  such that  $h(x) = y$ .

Non-examples:

- $[0, 1]^n$  whenever  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . (Points on the boundary are different from points in the interior.)
- The Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta\omega$  of the natural numbers. (Trivial: the points of  $\omega$  are isolated!)
- The Stone-Čech remainder  $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ .  
(Frolík, 1967, using a cardinality argument.)  
(Kunen, 1978, by proving the existence of weak P-points.)

## Examples:

- $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$ ,  $2^\kappa$ ,  $\omega^\kappa$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^\kappa$ . (Actually, any topological group: just translate!)
- Any product of homogeneous spaces. (Just take the product homeomorphism.)
- The Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^\omega$  (Keller, 1931).  
Notice that  $[0, 1]^\omega$  is not a topological group because it has the fixed point property (by Brouwer's theorem).

Homogeneous spaces are decently understood.

**Compact** homogeneous spaces are shrouded in mystery:

### Question (Van Douwen, 1970s)

*Is there a compact homogeneous space with more than  $c$  pairwise disjoint non-empty open sets?*

### Question (W. Rudin, 1958)

*Is there a compact homogeneous space with no non-trivial convergent  $\omega$ -sequences?*

# h-Homogeneity

## Definition

A space  $X$  is *h-homogeneous* if every non-empty clopen subset of  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .

Examples:

- Any connected space.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $2^\omega$ ,  $\omega^\omega$ . (Use their characterizations.)
- Any product of zero-dimensional h-homogeneous spaces (Medini, 2011, building on work of Terada, 1993).

Non-zero-dimensional but “very” disconnected examples:

- Erdős space  $\mathfrak{E} = \{x \in \ell^2 : x_n \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } n \in \omega\}$   
(Deep result due to Dijkstra and van Mill, 2010).
- The Knaster-Kuratowski fan.

## Homogeneity vs. h-homogeneity

To see that h-homogeneity does not imply homogeneity, not even for zero-dimensional spaces, consider  $\omega^*$  or  $(\omega_1 + 1)^\omega$ .

### Theorem (folklore)

*Let  $X$  be a first-countable zero-dimensional space. If  $X$  is h-homogeneous then  $X$  is homogeneous.*

The following remarkable example of van Douwen shows that the reverse implication need not hold.

### Theorem (van Douwen, 1984)

*There exists a first-countable zero-dimensional compact homogeneous space that is not h-homogeneous.*

Actually, his space has “a measure that knows which sets are homeomorphic”.

# Countable dense homogeneity

## Definition (Bennett, 1972)

A space  $X$  is *countable dense homogeneous* (briefly, CDH) if for every pair  $(D, E)$  of countable dense subsets of  $X$  there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)$  such that  $h[D] = E$ .

Examples:

- $\mathbb{R}$  (Cantor, 1895),  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Brouwer, 1913),  $2^\omega$ ,  $\omega^\omega$ .
- Any separable euclidean manifold of weight less than  $\mathfrak{b}$  (Steprāns and Zhou, 1988).
- The Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^\omega$  (Fort, 1962).
- Any countable disjoint sum of CDH spaces.

Non-examples:

- $\mathbb{Q}$  (Trivial! Less trivially, it actually has  $\mathfrak{c}$  types of countable dense subsets...)
- $\mathbb{Q}^\omega$  (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1992).

# Homogeneity vs. countable dense homogeneity

To see that countable dense homogeneity does not imply homogeneity, consider  $S^1 \oplus S^2$ .

**Theorem (Fitzpatrick and Lauer, 1987)**

*Let  $X$  be a connected CDH space. Then  $X$  is homogeneous.*

For an example of a homogeneous space that is not CDH, consider  $\mathbb{Q}$  or any non-P-point ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$ . However, adding a completeness assumption fixes the problem:

**Theorem (Curtis, Anderson and van Mill, 1985)**

*Let  $X$  be Polish and strongly locally homogeneous. Then  $X$  is CDH.*

**Corollary**

*Let  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  be Polish and homogeneous. Then  $X$  is CDH.*



# Non-Polish CDH spaces

Question (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1990)

*Is there a non-Polish CDH space?*

Theorem (Baldwin and Beaudoin, 1989)

*Assume MA( $\sigma$ -centered). Then there exists a CDH Bernstein set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ .*

Theorem (Farah, Hrušák and Martínez Ranero, 2005)

*There exists a  $\lambda$ -set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  of size  $\omega_1$  that is CDH.*

In 2014, Hernández-Gutiérrez, Hrušák and van Mill gave a new, simpler proof of the above result, using the technique of Knaster-Reichbach covers. In 2015, Medvedev further developed their methods. Our main theorem (see a later slide!) relies heavily on their work.

## Infinite powers, part I: homogeneity

It is an interesting theme in general topology that taking infinite powers improves the homogeneity properties of a space.

Remember the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^\omega$ ! But this phenomenon is particularly striking for zero-dimensional spaces. For example, if  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a Polish space (equivalently, a  $G_\delta$ ), then  $X^\omega$  will be homogeneous, CDH, and h-homogeneous because

- $X^\omega \approx 2^\omega$  if  $X$  is compact and  $|X| \geq 2$ ,
- $X^\omega \approx \omega^\omega$  if  $X$  is not compact.

Question (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1990)

*Which  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  are such that  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous? Countable dense homogeneous?*

Theorem (Lawrence, 1998)

*Let  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ . Then  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous.*

# The Dow-Pearl theorem

Question (Gruenhage, 1990)

*Is  $X^\omega$  homogeneous for all zero-dimensional first-countable  $X$ ?*

By combining the methods of Lawrence with the technique of elementary submodels, it is possible to give an affirmative answer to Gruenhage's question.

Theorem (Dow and Pearl, 1997)

*Let  $X$  be first-countable and zero-dimensional. Then  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous.*

The following result is an interesting application of the Dow-Pearl theorem. Since a compact S-space cannot be a topological group, it is in a sense best possible.

Theorem (De la Vega and Kunen, 2004)

*Under CH, there exists a compact homogeneous S-space.*

# Infinite powers, part II: h-homogeneity

## Question (Terada, 1993)

*Is  $X^\omega$  h-homogeneous for every zero-dimensional first-countable  $X$ ?*

A positive answer would give a strengthening of the Dow-Pearl theorem. The above question is open, even for separable metrizable spaces! However, partial results are available:

## Theorem (van Engelen, 1992; Medvedev, 2012)

*Let  $X$  be a metrizable space such that  $\dim(X) = 0$ . If  $X$  is meager or  $X$  has a completely metrizable dense subspace then  $X^\omega$  is h-homogeneous.*

## Theorem (Medini, 2011)

*Let  $X$  be a non-separable metrizable space such that  $\dim(X) = 0$ . Then  $X^\omega$  is h-homogeneous.*

## Infinite powers, part III: CDH spaces

We are looking for a quotable property  $\mathcal{P}$  such that the following are equivalent for every  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ .

- $X^\omega$  is CDH.
- $X$  has property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The first breakthrough was the following.

### Theorem (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)

*For a **Borel**  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- $X^\omega$  is CDH.
- $X$  is Polish.

It is natural to ask: is  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Polish}$  the characterization that we're looking for? In other words:

### Question (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)

*Is there a non- $G_\delta$  subset  $X$  of  $2^\omega$  such that  $X^\omega$  is CDH?*

## A consistent answer

Theorem (Medini and Milovich, 2012)

*Assume MA(countable). Then there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}^\omega$  is CDH.*

Theorem (Hernández-Gutiérrez and Hrušák, 2013)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-meager P-filter. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\omega$  are both CDH.*

Theorem (Kunen, Medini and Zdomsky, 2015)

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  filter. Then the following are equivalent.*

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a non-meager P-filter.
- $\mathcal{F}$  is CDH.
- $\mathcal{F}^\omega$  is CDH.

Great! 😊 Do non-meager P-filters exist?

It's a long-standing open problem... 😞

## A ZFC answer

It turns out that the result of Hrušák and Zamora Avilés can be slightly improved by weakening “Borel” to “coanalytic”:

### Theorem (Medini, 2015)

*For a **coanalytic**  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- $X^\omega$  is CDH.
- $X$  is Polish.

More importantly, the improved version is sharp:

### Theorem (Medini, 2015)

*There exists  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  with the following properties.*

- $X^\omega$  is CDH.
- $X$  is not Polish.
- If  $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH} + \omega_1 = \omega_1^{\aleph_1}$  holds then  $X$  is analytic.

## Two plausible candidates for the property $\mathcal{P}$

From results of Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, it follows that

$$\text{Polish} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Baire}$$

The following three properties (in strictly decreasing order of strength) satisfy this requirement.

- 1  $\mathcal{P}$  = Miller property (every countable crowded subspace has a crowded subspace with compact closure).
- 2  $\mathcal{P}$  = Cantor-Bendixson property (every closed subspace is either scattered or contains a copy of  $2^\omega$ ).
- 3  $\mathcal{P}$  = completely Baire (every closed subspace is Baire).

Furthermore, they are all equivalent for filters or coanalytic sets. However, property (3) must be discarded by the following result.

**Theorem (Hernández-Gutiérrez, 2013)**

*If  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a Bernstein set then  $X^\omega$  is not CDH.*



## How do we construct the ZFC example?

Recall that a  $\lambda$ -set is a space where all countable sets are  $G_\delta$ . Since a  $\lambda$ -set cannot contain copies of  $2^\omega$ , no uncountable  $\lambda$ -set can be Polish (or even Borel).

Recall that a  $\lambda'$ -set is a subspace  $X$  of  $2^\omega$  such that  $X \cup D$  is a  $\lambda$ -set for every countable  $D \subseteq 2^\omega$ .

**Theorem (Sierpiński, 1945)**

*There exists a  $\lambda'$ -set of size  $\omega_1$ .*

Our example will be the **complement** of a  $\lambda'$ -set of size  $\omega_1$ . In particular, it will be consistently analytic by the following classical theorem.

**Theorem (Martin and Solovay, 1970)**

*Assume  $MA + \neg CH + \omega_1 = \omega_1^L$ . Then every subspace of  $2^\omega$  of size  $\omega_1$  is coanalytic.*

## The main theorem

Let  $Y$  be a  $\lambda'$ -set and  $X = 2^\omega \setminus Y$ . Notice that every countable subset of  $X$  is included in a Polish subspace of  $X$  (we will say that  $X$  is *countably controlled*).

We will say that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is *h-homogeneously embedded* in  $2^\omega$  if there exists a (countable)  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $2^\omega$  consisting of clopen sets and homeomorphisms  $\varphi_U : 2^\omega \rightarrow U$  for  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\varphi_U[X] = X \cap U$ .

### Theorem (Medini, 2015)

*Assume that  $X$  is countably controlled and h-homogeneously embedded in  $2^\omega$ . Then  $X$  is CDH.*

Notice that both properties are preserved by taking the  $\omega$ -power, so it will be enough to construct a  $\lambda'$ -set of size  $\omega_1$  that is h-homogeneously embedded in  $2^\omega$ . This is easy enough, but it turns out that **any**  $\lambda'$ -set would work!

## A flashback from a few slides ago...

Theorem (van Engelen, 1992; Medvedev, 2012)

*Assume that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  has a dense Polish subspace. Then  $X^\omega$  is  $h$ -homogeneous.*

Proposition (Medini, 2015)

*Let  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  and  $|X| \geq 2$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- *$X^\omega$  is  $h$ -homogeneous.*
- *$X^\omega$  can be  $h$ -homogeneously embedded in  $2^\omega$ .*

Corollary (Medini, 2015)

*Assume that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  has a dense Polish subspace and  $|X| \geq 2$ . Then  $X^\omega$  can be  $h$ -homogeneously embedded in  $2^\omega$ .*

# Knaster-Reichbach covers

Fix a homeomorphism  $h : E \longrightarrow F$  between closed nowhere dense subsets of  $2^\omega$ . We will say that  $\langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}, \psi \rangle$  is a *Knaster-Reichbach cover* (briefly, a KR-cover) for  $\langle 2^\omega \setminus E, 2^\omega \setminus F, h \rangle$  if the following conditions hold.

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a cover of  $2^\omega \setminus E$  consisting of pairwise disjoint non-empty clopen subsets of  $2^\omega$ .
- $\mathcal{W}$  is a cover of  $2^\omega \setminus F$  consisting of pairwise disjoint non-empty clopen subsets of  $2^\omega$ .
- $\psi : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a bijection.
- If  $f : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$  is a bijection such that  $h \subseteq f$  and  $f[V] = \psi(V)$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  (we say that  $f$  respects  $\psi$ ), then  $f$  is continuous on  $E$  and  $f^{-1}$  is continuous on  $F$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $h : E \longrightarrow F$  be a homeomorphism between closed nowhere dense subsets of  $2^\omega$ . Then there exists a KR-cover for  $\langle 2^\omega \setminus E, 2^\omega \setminus F, h \rangle$ .*

## Knaster-Reichbach systems

Fix an admissible metric on  $2^\omega$ . We will say that a sequence  $\langle \langle h_n, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a *Knaster-Reichbach system* (briefly, a KR-system) if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) Each  $h_n : E_n \rightarrow F_n$  is a homeomorphism between closed nowhere dense subsets of  $2^\omega$ .
- (2)  $h_m \subseteq h_n$  whenever  $m \leq n$ .
- (3) Each  $\mathcal{K}_n = \langle \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{W}_n, \psi_n \rangle$  is a KR-cover for  $\langle 2^\omega \setminus E_n, 2^\omega \setminus F_n, h_n \rangle$ .
- (4)  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{V}_n) \leq 2^{-n}$  and  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{W}_n) \leq 2^{-n}$  for each  $n$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{V}_m$  refines  $\mathcal{V}_n$  and  $\mathcal{W}_m$  refines  $\mathcal{W}_n$  whenever  $m \geq n$ .
- (6) Given  $U \in \mathcal{V}_m$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$  with  $m \geq n$ , then  $U \subseteq V$  if and only if  $\psi_m(U) \subseteq \psi_n(V)$ .

# Why do we care about Knaster-Reichbach systems?

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\langle \langle h_n, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a KR-system. Then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that  $h \supseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h_n$ .*

## Corollary

*Let  $X$  be a subspace of  $2^\omega$ . Assume that  $\langle \langle h_n, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a KR-system satisfying the following additional conditions.*

- (7)  $2^\omega \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n \subseteq X$ .
- (8)  $2^\omega \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \subseteq X$ .
- (9)  $h_n[X \cap E_n] = X \cap F_n$  for each  $n$ .

*Then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that  $h \supseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} h_n$  and  $h[X] = X$ .*

## Proof of the main result: the setup, part I

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be  $h$ -homogeneously embedded in  $2^\omega$  and countably controlled. We will show that  $X$  is CDH.

Fix a (countable)  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $2^\omega$  consisting of clopen sets and homeomorphisms  $\varphi_U : 2^\omega \rightarrow U$  for  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\varphi_U[X] = X \cap U$ . In particular,  $X$  is dense in  $2^\omega$ .

Fix a pair  $(A, B)$  of countable dense subsets of  $X$ . It is easy to construct a countable dense subset  $D$  of  $2^\omega$  such that

- $A \cup B \subseteq D \subseteq X$ ,
- $\varphi_U^{-1}(x) \in D$  whenever  $x \in D \cap U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ .

(Start with  $A \cup B$ , then repeatedly close-off under all the functions  $\varphi_U^{-1}$  in  $\omega$  steps.)

## Proof: the setup, part II

Since  $X$  is countably controlled, it is possible to find a  $G_\delta$  subset  $G$  of  $2^\omega$  such that  $D \subseteq G \subseteq X$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $2^\omega \setminus G$  is dense in  $2^\omega$ . Fix closed nowhere dense subsets  $K_\ell$  of  $2^\omega$  for  $\ell \in \omega$  such that  $2^\omega \setminus G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \omega} K_\ell$ .

Fix the following injective enumerations.

- $A = \{a_i : i \in \omega\}$ .
- $B = \{b_j : j \in \omega\}$ .

Fix an admissible metric on  $2^\omega$  such that  $\text{diam}(2^\omega) \leq 1$ .

Our strategy is to construct a suitable KR-system  $\langle \langle h_n, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ , where each  $h_n : E_n \rightarrow F_n$  and  $\mathcal{K}_n = \langle \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{W}_n, \psi_n \rangle$ .



## Proof: the setup, part III

Of course, we want to satisfy conditions (1)-(6) in the definition of a KR-system. But we will also make sure that the following conditions are satisfied for every  $n \in \omega$ .

- (I)  $\bigcup_{\ell < n} K_\ell \subseteq E_n$ .
- (II)  $\bigcup_{\ell < n} K_\ell \subseteq F_n$ .
- (III)  $h_n[X \cap E_n] = X \cap F_n$ .
- (IV)  $\{a_i : i < n\} \subseteq E_n$ .
- (V)  $\{b_j : j < n\} \subseteq F_n$ .
- (VI)  $h_n[A \cap E_n] = B \cap F_n$ .

Conditions (I)-(III) will guarantee that the additional conditions (7)-(9) in the Corollary hold.

On the other hand, conditions (IV)-(VI) will guarantee that  $h[A] = B$ .

## Proof: the construction, part I

Start by letting  $h_0 = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \langle \{2^\omega\}, \{2^\omega\}, \{\langle 2^\omega, 2^\omega \rangle\} \rangle$ .

Now assume that  $\langle h_n, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle$  is given. First, for any given  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , we will define a homeomorphism  $h_V : E_V \rightarrow F_V$ , where  $E_V$  will be a closed nowhere dense subset of  $V$  and  $F_V$  will be a closed nowhere dense subset of  $\psi_n(V)$ .

So fix  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , and let  $W = \psi_n(V)$ . Define the following indices.

- $l(V) = \min\{l \in \omega : K_l \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ .
- $l(W) = \min\{l \in \omega : K_l \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ .
- $i(V) = \min\{i \in \omega : a_i \in V \setminus K_{l(V)}\}$ .
- $j(W) = \min\{j \in \omega : b_j \in W \setminus K_{l(W)}\}$ .

Notice that the indices  $l(V)$  and  $l(W)$  are well-defined because  $\bigcup_{l \in \omega} K_l = 2^\omega \setminus G$  is dense in  $2^\omega$ .

## Proof: the construction, part II

Let  $S = V \cap K_{\ell(V)}$ . Since  $K_{\ell(V)}$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of  $2^\omega$ , we can fix  $U(S) \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $U(S) \subseteq V \setminus (S \cup \{a_{i(V)}\})$ .

Let  $T = W \cap K_{\ell(W)}$ . Similarly, we can fix  $U(T) \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $U(T) \subseteq W \setminus (T \cup \{b_{j(W)}\})$ .

Define the following closed nowhere dense sets:

- $E_V = \{a_{i(V)}\} \cup S \cup \varphi_{U(S)}[T]$ ,
- $F_V = \{b_{j(W)}\} \cup T \cup \varphi_{U(T)}[S]$ .

Define  $h_V : E_V \rightarrow F_V$  by setting

$$h_V(x) = \begin{cases} b_{j(W)} & \text{if } x = a_{i(V)}, \\ \varphi_{U(T)}(x) & \text{if } x \in S, \\ (\varphi_{U(S)})^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in \varphi_{U(S)}[T]. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $h_V$  is a homeomorphism.

## Proof: the construction, part III

By the Lemma, there exists a KR-cover  $\langle \mathcal{V}_V, \mathcal{W}_V, \psi_V \rangle$  for  $\langle V \setminus E_V, W \setminus F_V, h_V \rangle$ .

Furthermore, it is easy to realize that

$$h_V[X \cap E_V] = X \cap F_V.$$

This will allow us to maintain condition (III).

Notice that  $\phi_{U(S)}[T] \cap D = \emptyset$ , because  $\phi_U[K_\ell] \cap D = \emptyset$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\ell \in \omega$  by the choice of  $D$ . Similarly, one sees that  $\phi_{U(T)}[S] \cap D = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \cup B \subseteq D$ , it follows that

$$h_V[A \cap E_V] = h_V[\{a_{i(V)}\}] = \{b_{j(W)}\} = B \cap F_V.$$

This will allow us to maintain condition (VI).

## Proof: the construction, part IV

Repeat this construction for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , then let

$$E_{n+1} = E_n \cup \bigcup \{E_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\} \text{ and } F_{n+1} = F_n \cup \bigcup \{F_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}.$$

Define

$$h_{n+1} = h_n \cup \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}_n} h_V.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $h_{n+1} : E_{n+1} \rightarrow F_{n+1}$  is a homeomorphism.

Finally, we define  $\mathcal{K}_{n+1} = \langle \mathcal{V}_{n+1}, \mathcal{W}_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1} \rangle$ . Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\} \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_{n+1} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{W}_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}.$$

By further refining  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ , we can assume that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{V}_{n+1}) \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$  and  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{W}_{n+1}) \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$ .



**Thank you for your attention**



**and good night!**