

## Winter 2015, Introduction to mathematical logic

### Series 4

**Exercise 1 (Herbrand's Theorem)** Let  $\Gamma$  be a theory such that every sentence in  $\Gamma$  is *universal*, i.e. of the form  $\forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_k \psi$  for  $\psi$  quantifier-free.

- (a) Assume  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model of  $\Gamma$ . Show that the set of  $t^{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $t$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ -term without variables is either empty or the universe of a substructure  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Further show that  $\mathcal{N} \models \Gamma$ .
- (b) Let  $\varphi$  be quantifier-free with free variables  $x, y$  and assume  $\Gamma \vdash \forall x \exists y \varphi$ . Show that there exist finitely many  $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ -terms  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with no variable except possibly  $x$  such that

$$\Gamma \vdash \varphi(y/t_1) \vee \cdots \vee \varphi(y/t_n).$$

*Hint:* Add a new constant  $c$  to the language and let  $T$  be the set of terms in the new language without variables. Assuming that the conclusion above fails, show that  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg \varphi(x/c, y/t) \mid t \in T\}$  is consistent (Compactness theorem).

**Exercise 2** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language with a binary relation symbol  $<$  and no other non-logical symbol. A structure  $\mathcal{M} = (M, <^{\mathcal{M}})$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *well-order* if  $<^{\mathcal{M}}$  is a linear order on  $M$  such that for every  $X \in \mathcal{P}(M) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  there is  $m \in X$  such that  $m <^{\mathcal{M}} m'$  (more precisely,  $(m, m') \in <^{\mathcal{M}}$ ) for all  $m' \in X \setminus \{m\}$

- (a) Show that a structure  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  is a well-order if and only if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a linear order and there does not exist a sequence  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $m_{n+1} <^{\mathcal{M}} m_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) There is no theory  $\Gamma$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$  such that for all structures  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma \iff \mathcal{M} \text{ is a well-order.}$$

*Hint:* Compactness theorem.

**Exercise 3** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the first-order language with binary function symbols  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ , a unary function symbol  $-$ , and constants  $0, 1$ .

- (a) For  $p$  either 0 or prime define an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory  $\Gamma_{ACF_p}$  whose models are precisely the algebraically closed fields of characteristic  $p$ .
- (b) Show that an  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence holds in some algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 if it holds in all algebraically closed fields of sufficiently large characteristic.

*Hint:* You can use the fact that  $\Gamma_{ACF_0}$  is complete. Apply the Compactness theorem.

**Exercise 4** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a structure for the language  $\mathcal{L}$ . A substructure  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *elementary* if for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas  $\varphi$  with free variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and all  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$ :

$$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(x_1/m_1, \dots, x_k/m_k) \iff \mathcal{N} \models \varphi(x_1/m_1, \dots, x_k/m_k).$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is enumerable and show that every infinite structure  $\mathcal{N}$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  has an enumerable elementary substructure.

*Hint:* construct an enumerable set  $A \subseteq N$  such that for every  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$  and every  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ : if  $N$  contains some  $b$  such that

$$\mathcal{N} \models \varphi(x_1/a_1, \dots, x_k/a_k, y/b),$$

then also  $A$  contains such a  $b$ .