Banach Space questions

Special Ultrafilters and similar objects

Comments and Open questions

Q-measures, Q-points, and L-orthogonality.

Luis Sáenz

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Iuisdavidr@ciencias.unam.mx

Workshop Structure in Banach Spaces 21 March 2025

Joint work with Antonio Avilés, Gonzalo Martínez-Cervantes, and Alejandro Poveda.

The plan

Banach Space questions

Special Ultrafilters and similar objects

Comments and Open questions

Some definitions

Definition

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space

• $\|\cdot\|$ is octahedral if for any $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that $||x_i + y|| > ||x_i|| + ||y|| - \epsilon$.

Some definitions

Definition

- $\|\cdot\|$ is octahedral if for any $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i + y\| > \|x_i\| + \|y\| \epsilon$.
- ② $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$ is an L-orthogonal sequence iff for any $x \in X$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x + y_n|| = ||x|| + 1$.

Some definitions

Definition

- $\|\cdot\|$ is octahedral if for any $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i + y\| > \|x_i\| + \|y\| \epsilon$.
- ② $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$ is an L-orthogonal sequence iff for any $x \in X$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x + y_n|| = ||x|| + 1$.
- $y^{**} \in S_X^{**}$ is an L-orthogonal element iff for any $x \in X$, $||x + y^{**}|| = ||x|| + 1.$

Some definitions

Definition

- $\|\cdot\|$ is octahedral if for any $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i + y\| > \|x_i\| + \|y\| \epsilon$.
- ② $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$ is an L-orthogonal sequence iff for any $x \in X$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x + y_n|| = ||x|| + 1$.
- $y^{**} \in S_X^{**}$ is an L-orthogonal element iff for any $x \in X$, $||x + y^{**}|| = ||x|| + 1.$



Some definitions

Definition

- $\|\cdot\|$ is octahedral if for any $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is $y \in S_X$ such that $\|x_i + y\| > \|x_i\| + \|y\| \epsilon$.
- ② $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$ is an L-orthogonal sequence iff for any $x \in X$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x + y_n|| = ||x|| + 1$.
- $y^{**} \in S_X^{**}$ is an L-orthogonal element iff for any $x \in X$, $||x + y^{**}|| = ||x|| + 1.$



Special Ultrafilters and similar objects

Comments and Open questions

Renorming results

Theorem (Godefroy (1989))

For a Banach space X, TFAE:

- X contains a isomorphic copy of ℓ_1 .
- X admits an equivalent norm such that X^{**} has an L-orthogonal element.

Special Ultrafilters and similar objects

Comments and Open questions

Renorming results

Theorem (Godefroy (1989))

For a Banach space X, TFAE:

- X contains a isomorphic copy of ℓ_1 .
- X admits an equivalent norm such that X^{**} has an L-orthogonal element.

Theorem (Kadets, Shepelska, Werner (2011))

For a Banach space X, TFAE:

- X contains a isomorphic copy of ℓ_1 .
- X admits an equivalent norm such that X has an L-orthogonal sequence.

Proposition (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantez, Rueda Zoca (2022))

There is a Banach space X with no L-orthogonal sequence such that X^{**} admits a L-orthogonal element.

Proposition (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantez, Rueda Zoca (2022))

There is a Banach space X with no L-orthogonal sequence such that X^{**} admits a L-orthogonal element.

• Let X be a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, is there an L-orthogonal element $x^{**} \in X^{**}$?

Proposition (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantez, Rueda Zoca (2022))

There is a Banach space X with no L-orthogonal sequence such that X^{**} admits a L-orthogonal element.

• Let X be a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, is there an L-orthogonal element $x^{**} \in X^{**}$?

② Let X be a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, is there an L-orthogonal element $x^{**} \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*}$?

Proposition (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantez, Rueda Zoca (2022))

There is a Banach space X with no L-orthogonal sequence such that X^{**} admits a L-orthogonal element.

() Let X be a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, is there an L-orthogonal element $x^{**} \in X^{**}$?

② Let X be a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence (x_n)_{n∈ℕ}, is there an L-orthogonal element x^{**} ∈ {x_n : n ∈ ℕ}^{w^{*}}?

Spoiler

The answer to both questions is independent of the usual set theory axioms (ZFC).

Ultrafilters

Definition (Filters)

- A family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a filter over \mathbb{N} if
 - $\textcircled{1} \varnothing \notin \mathscr{F}$
 - 2 If $F \in \mathscr{F}$, $F \subseteq E$ then $E \in \mathscr{F}$
 - $If F, F' \in \mathscr{F}, then F \cap F' \in \mathscr{F}$
 - A filter over N is free if it extends the Fréchet filter.
 - A filter is an ultrafilter if it maximal.

Ultrafilters

Definition (Filters)

A family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a filter over \mathbb{N} if

 $\textcircled{1} \varnothing \notin \mathscr{F}$

- 2 If $F \in \mathscr{F}$, $F \subseteq E$ then $E \in \mathscr{F}$
- $If F, F' \in \mathscr{F}, then F \cap F' \in \mathscr{F}$
- A filter over N is free if it extends the Fréchet filter.
- A filter is an ultrafilter if it maximal.

Definition

A free ultrafilter $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a Q-point if for any partition of \mathbb{N} into finite sets $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is a selector $S \in \mathscr{U}$ such that for any $n \in \omega$, $|S \cap I_n| = 1$.

<ロト < 部ト < 差ト < 差ト 差 の Q () 6/13

Ultrafilters

Definition (Filters)

A family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a filter over \mathbb{N} if

 $\textcircled{1} \varnothing \notin \mathscr{F}$

- 2 If $F \in \mathscr{F}$, $F \subseteq E$ then $E \in \mathscr{F}$
- $If F, F' \in \mathscr{F}, then F \cap F' \in \mathscr{F}$
- A filter over N is free if it extends the Fréchet filter.
- A filter is an ultrafilter if it maximal.

Definition

A free ultrafilter $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a Q-point if for any partition of \mathbb{N} into finite sets $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is a selector $S \in \mathscr{U}$ such that for any $n \in \omega$, $|S \cap I_n| = 1$.

The existence of Q-points is independent of ZFC.

Definition

Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a topological space X, and filter \mathscr{F} over \mathbb{N} , the \mathscr{F} -limit with respect to the sequence is $x \in X$ ($x = \mathscr{F}$ -lim x_n) iff for every neighborhood V of x, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in \mathscr{F}.$

Answering the second question.

Definition

Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a topological space X, and filter \mathscr{F} over \mathbb{N} , the \mathscr{F} -limit with respect to the sequence is $x \in X$ ($x = \mathscr{F}$ -lim x_n) iff for every neighborhood V of x, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in \mathscr{F}.$

Theorem (Hrušák, S. (2024))

For any Banach Space X, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and \mathscr{U} a Q-point, \mathscr{U} -lim $x_n \in X^{**}$ (taken in the w^* topology of X^{**}) is L-orthogonal.

Answering the second question.

Definition

Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a topological space X, and filter \mathscr{F} over \mathbb{N} , the \mathscr{F} -limit with respect to the sequence is $x \in X$ ($x = \mathscr{F}$ -lim x_n) iff for every neighborhood V of x, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in \mathscr{F}.$

Theorem (Hrušák, S. (2024))

For any Banach Space X, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and \mathscr{U} a Q-point, \mathscr{U} -lim $x_n \in X^{**}$ (taken in the w^* topology of X^{**}) is L-orthogonal.

Theorem (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Rueda-Zoca (2022))

If there are no Q-points, then there is a Banach space X with an L-orthogonal sequence such that no $x^{**} \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*}$ is L-orthogonal.

Answering the second question.

Definition

Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a topological space X, and filter \mathscr{F} over \mathbb{N} , the \mathscr{F} -limit with respect to the sequence is $x \in X$ ($x = \mathscr{F}$ -lim x_n) iff for every neighborhood V of x, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V\} \in \mathscr{F}.$

Theorem (Hrušák, S. (2024))

For any Banach Space X, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and \mathscr{U} a Q-point, \mathscr{U} -lim $x_n \in X^{**}$ (taken in the w^* topology of X^{**}) is L-orthogonal.

Theorem (Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Rueda-Zoca (2022))

If there are no Q-points, then there is a Banach space X with an L-orthogonal sequence such that no $x^{**} \in \overline{\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{w^*}$ is L-orthogonal.

Theorem

Fix an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{U} is a Q-point iff for any Banach space X, and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, \mathcal{U} -lim $x_n \in X^{**}$ is L-orthogonal.

Special Measures

Definition

Let $\mu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a finitely additive measure defined on the subsets of \mathbb{N} and vanishing on finite sets.

- ${\small \bullet}~\mu$ is a Q-measure if every partition of ${\mathbb N}$ into finite sets has a selector of positive measure.
- 2 μ is a fit *Q*-measure if for every partition of \mathbb{N} into finite sets and every $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ there is a finite union of selectors of measure greater than $\delta \cdot \mu(\mathbb{N})$.
- 0 μ is a strong Q-measure if every partition of $\mathbb N$ into finite sets has a selector of full measure.

Special Measures

Definition

Let $\mu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a finitely additive measure defined on the subsets of \mathbb{N} and vanishing on finite sets.

- ${\small \bullet}~\mu$ is a Q-measure if every partition of ${\mathbb N}$ into finite sets has a selector of positive measure.
- 2 μ is a fit *Q*-measure if for every partition of \mathbb{N} into finite sets and every $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ there is a finite union of selectors of measure greater than $\delta \cdot \mu(\mathbb{N})$.
- **(3)** μ is a strong *Q*-measure if every partition of $\mathbb N$ into finite sets has a selector of full measure.

Definition

Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a Banach space X, and a measure of bounded variation $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $T : \ell_1 \to X$ be defined as $T(e_n) := x_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the μ -limit of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as

$$\mu\text{-}\lim x_n := T^{**}(\mu).$$

Here $T^{**}: \ell_1^{**} \to X^{**}$ and remember that $\ell_1^{**} = \ell_{\infty}^*$ is naturally identified with the set of finitely additive signed finite measures on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. In the case where μ stems from an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} this coincides with the classical \mathcal{U} -limit.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and $\mu \colon \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0,1]$ a strong Q-measure with $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$. Then μ -lim x_n is an L-orthogonal element.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0,1]$ a strong Q-measure with $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$. Then μ -lim x_n is an L-orthogonal element.

Theorem

If no fit Q-measures exist, then there is a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence and no L-orthogonal elements.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0,1]$ a strong Q-measure with $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$. Then μ -lim x_n is an L-orthogonal element.

Theorem

If no fit Q-measures exist, then there is a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence and no L-orthogonal elements.

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC that there are no Q-measures.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an L-orthogonal sequence, and $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0,1]$ a strong Q-measure with $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$. Then μ -lim x_n is an L-orthogonal element.

Theorem

If no fit Q-measures exist, then there is a Banach space with an L-orthogonal sequence and no L-orthogonal elements.

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC that there are no Q-measures.

Can you provide a characterization of when, for any measure μ , the μ -lim x_n of an *L*-orthogonal sequence is *L*-orthogonal?

Comments on the proofs

Theorem (Mathias)

Let \mathscr{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , TFAE.

2 For any tall analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Comments and Open questions $_{\odot OOO}$

Comments on the proofs

Theorem (Mathias)

Let \mathscr{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , TFAE.

U is selective.

2 For any tall analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem (Hrušák, Meza, Minami (2010))

Let \mathscr{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , TFAE.

- *U* is a Q-point.
- 2 For any countably hitting analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Comments on the proofs

Theorem (Mathias)

Let \mathscr{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , TFAE.

U is selective.

2 For any tall analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem (Hrušák, Meza, Minami (2010))

Let \mathscr{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , TFAE.

U is a Q-point.

2 For any countably hitting analytic ideal $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem

Let $\mu \colon \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0,1]$ be a measure and $\varepsilon > 0$. TFAE.



2 For every analytic hereditary family \mathcal{H} that is countably hitting, there is $A \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\mu(A) \geq \varepsilon$.

<ロト < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 のへで 10/13

Comments and Open questions $0 \bullet 00$

Questions

Is it consistent that there is no Q-point but there is a Q-measure?

Questions

Is it consistent that there is no Q-point but there is a Q-measure?

Proposition

Assume the filter dichotomy holds and there are no Q-points, then there are no Q-measures.

Questions

Is it consistent that there is no Q-point but there is a Q-measure?

Proposition

Assume the filter dichotomy holds and there are no Q-points, then there are no Q-measures.

Theorem

There are no Q-measures in the Laver, Mathias, or Miller models.

Comments and Open questions $_{\bigcirc \odot \odot \bigcirc \bigcirc }$

Questions

Is it consistent that there is no Q-point but there is a Q-measure?

Proposition

Assume the filter dichotomy holds and there are no Q-points, then there are no Q-measures.

Theorem

There are no Q-measures in the Laver, Mathias, or Miller models.

Theorem

TFAE

- 2 Any filter \mathscr{F} of character less that \mathfrak{d} can be extended to a Q-point.
- **③** For any measure μ : \mathbb{B} → [0, 1], such that $[\mathbb{N}]^{\leq \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is Boolean Algebra, μ vanishes on finite sets, and has density less than ϑ , there exists an atomless strong *Q*-measure ν : $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ extending μ .

Banach Space questions

 $\underset{0000}{\text{Special Ultrafilters and similar objects}}$

Comments and Open questions $_{\bigcirc \bigcirc \odot \odot \odot }$

Thanks!

<ロ > < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > 差) への 12/13

References

- Antonio Avilés, Gonzalo Martínez-Cervantes, Alejandro Poveda, and Luis Sáenz. *A banach space with I-orthogonal sequences but without I-orthogonal elements*. Preprint, 2024.
- [2] Antonio Avilés, Gonzalo Martínez-Cervantes, and Abraham Rueda Zoca. L-Orthogonal Elements and L-Orthogonal Sequences. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2023(11):9128–9154, 05 2022.
- [3] Gilles Godefroy. *Metric characterization of first baire class linear forms and octahedral norms*. Studia Mathematica, 95(1):1–15, 1989.
- [4] Michael Hrušák, David Meza-Alcántara, and Hiroaki Minami. Pair-splitting, pair-reaping and cardinal invariants of f Σ-ideals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 75(2):661–677, 2010.
- [5] Michael Hrušák and Luis Sáenz. Some applications of q-points and lebesgue filters to banach spaces. Extracta Mathematicae, 2024.
- [6] Vladimir Kadets, Varvara Shepelska, and Dirk Werner. Thickness of the unit sphere, 1-types, and the almost daugavet property. Houston journal of mathematics, 37:867–878, 01 2011.