

MAD families, splitting families and large continuum

Vera Fischer

Kurt Gödel Research Center
University of Vienna

April 2012

- ▶ $\text{con}(\mathfrak{s} < \mathfrak{b})$ (Baumgartner, Dordal, 1984)
- ▶ $\text{con}(\mathfrak{b} = \aleph_1 < \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} = \aleph_2)$ (Shelah, 1985)
- ▶ $\text{con}(\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{a} = \kappa^+)$ (Brendle, 1998)
- ▶ $\text{con}(\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \kappa^+)$ (F., Steprāns, 2008)

Theorem (Brendle, F., 2011)

Let $\kappa < \lambda$ be arbitrary regular uncountable cardinals. Then there is a ccc generic extension in which $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \lambda$.

Theorem (Brendle, F., 2011)

Let μ be a measurable cardinal, $\kappa < \lambda$ regular such that $\mu < \kappa$. Then there is a ccc generic extension in which $\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} = \lambda$.

For γ an ordinal, \mathbb{P}_γ is the poset of all finite partial functions $p : \gamma \times \omega \rightarrow 2$ such that $\text{dom}(p) = F_p \times n_p$ where $F_p \in [\gamma]^{<\omega}$, $n_p \in \omega$. The order is given by $q \leq p$ if $p \subseteq q$ and $|q^{-1}(1) \cap F_p \times \{i\}| \leq 1$ for all $i \in n_q \setminus n_p$.

Let G be a \mathbb{P}_γ -generic filter and for $\delta \in \gamma$ let $A_\alpha = \{i : \exists p \in G(p(\alpha, i) = 1)\}$. Then

- ▶ $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \gamma\}$ is an a.d. family (maximal for $\gamma \geq \omega_1$),
- ▶ if $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ then for all $\alpha \in F_p$ ($p \Vdash \dot{A}_\alpha \upharpoonright n_p = p \upharpoonright \{\alpha\} \times n_p$),
- ▶ for all $\alpha, \beta \in F_p$ ($p \Vdash \dot{A}_\alpha \cap \dot{A}_\beta \subseteq n_p$).

Let $\gamma < \delta$, G a \mathbb{P}_γ -generic filter. In $V[G]$, let $\mathbb{P}_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ consist of all (p, H) such that $p \in \mathbb{P}_\delta$ with $F_p \in [\delta \setminus \gamma]^{<\omega}$ and $H \in [\gamma]^{<\omega}$. The order is given by $(q, K) \leq (p, H)$ if $q \leq_{\mathbb{P}_\delta} p$, $H \subseteq K$ and for all $\alpha \in F_p$, $\beta \in H$, $i \in n_q \setminus n_p$ if $i \in A_\beta$, then $q(\alpha, i) = 0$.

- ▶ That is for all $\alpha \in F_p, \beta \in H$, $p \Vdash \dot{A}_\alpha \cap \check{A}_\beta \subseteq n_p$.
- ▶ \mathbb{P}_δ is forcing equivalent to $\mathbb{P}_\gamma * \mathbb{P}_{[\gamma, \delta]}$.

Property \star

Let $M \subseteq N$, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \cap M$, $A \in N \cap [\omega]^\omega$. Then $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M, N})$ holds if for every $h : \omega \times [\gamma]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \omega$, $h \in M$ and $m \in \omega$ there are $n \geq m$, $F \in [\gamma]^{<\omega}$ such that $[n, h(n, F)) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} B_\alpha \subseteq A$.

Lemma A

If $G_{\gamma+1}$ is $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma+1}$ -generic, $G_\gamma = G_{\gamma+1} \cap \mathbb{P}_\gamma$, $\mathcal{A}_\gamma = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$, where $A_\alpha = \{i : \exists p \in G(p(\alpha, i) = 1)\}$. Then $(\star_{\mathcal{A}_\gamma, A_\gamma}^{V[G_\gamma], V[G_{\gamma+1}]})$ holds.

Lemma B

Let $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M, N})$ hold, where $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$, let $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{B})$ be the ideal generated by \mathcal{B} and the finite sets and let $B \in M \cap [\omega]^\omega$, $B \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{B})$. Then $|A \cap B| = \aleph_0$.

Lemma C

Let $M \subseteq N$, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma} \subseteq M \cap [\omega]^\omega$, $A \in N \cap [\omega]^\omega$ such that $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M, N})$. Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter in M . Then there is an ultrafilter $\mathcal{V} \supseteq \mathcal{U}$ in N such that

1. every maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ which belongs to M is a maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ in N ,
2. $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M[G], N[G]})$ holds where G is $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{V}}$ -generic over N (and thus, by (1), $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -generic over M).

Lemma D

Let $M \subseteq N$, $\mathbb{P} \in M$ a poset such that $\mathbb{P} \subseteq M$, G a \mathbb{P} -generic filter over M, N . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \gamma} \subseteq M \cap [\omega]^\omega$, $A \in N \cap [\omega]^\omega$ such that $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M, N})$ holds. Then $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{M[G], N[G]})$ holds.

Lemma E

Let $\langle \mathbb{P}_{\ell, n}, \dot{Q}_{\ell, n} : n \in \omega \rangle$, $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$ be finite support iterations such that $\mathbb{P}_{0, n}$ is a complete suborder of $\mathbb{P}_{1, n}$ for all n . Let $V_{\ell, n} = V^{\mathbb{P}_{\ell, n}}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \alpha} \subseteq V_{0, 0} \cap [\omega]^\omega$, $A \in V_{1, 0} \cap [\omega]^\omega$. If $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{V_{0, n}, V_{1, n}})$ holds for all $n \in \omega$, then $(\star_{\mathcal{B}, A}^{V_{0, \omega}, V_{1, \omega}})$ holds.

Lemma

Let \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} be partial orders, such that \mathbb{P} is completely embedded into \mathbb{Q} . Let \dot{A} be a \mathbb{P} -name for a forcing notion, \dot{B} a \mathbb{Q} -name for a forcing notion such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}} \dot{A} \subseteq \dot{B}$, and every maximal antichain of \dot{A} in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ is a maximal antichain of \dot{B} in $V^{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\mathbb{P} * \dot{A} < \circ \mathbb{Q} * \dot{B}$.

Let $f : \{\eta < \lambda : \eta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} \rightarrow \kappa$ be an onto mapping, such that for all $\alpha < \kappa$, $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ is cofinal in λ . Recursively define a system of finite support iterations

$$\langle \langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \zeta} : \alpha \leq \kappa, \zeta \leq \lambda \rangle, \langle \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\alpha, \zeta} : \alpha \leq \kappa, \zeta < \lambda \rangle \rangle$$

as follows. For all α, ζ let $V_{\alpha, \zeta} = V^{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \zeta}}$.

- (1) If $\zeta = 0$, then for all $\alpha \leq \kappa$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,0}$ is Hechler's poset for adding an a.d. family $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \{A_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$,
- (2) If $\zeta = \eta + 1$, $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}} \dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta} = \mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}}$ where $\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for an ultrafilter and for all $\alpha < \beta \leq \kappa$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\eta}} \dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta} \subseteq \dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}$,
- (3) If $\zeta = \eta + 1$, $\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then if $\alpha \leq f(\eta)$, $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for the trivial forcing notion; if $\alpha > f(\eta)$ then $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for $\mathbb{D}^{V_{f(\eta),\eta}}$.
- (4) If ζ is a limit, then for all $\alpha \leq \kappa$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ is the finite support iteration of $\langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}, \dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta} : \eta < \zeta \rangle$.

Furthermore the construction will satisfy the following two properties:

- (a) $\forall \zeta \leq \lambda \forall \alpha < \beta \leq \kappa$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ is a complete suborder of $\mathbb{P}_{\beta, \zeta}$,
- (b) $\forall \zeta \leq \lambda \forall \alpha < \kappa$ ($\star_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \mathcal{A}_\alpha}^{V_{\alpha, \zeta}, V_{\alpha+1, \zeta}}$) holds.

Proceed by recursion on ζ . For $\zeta = 0$, $\alpha \leq \kappa$ let $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,0} = \mathbb{P}_\alpha$. Then clearly properties (a) and (b) above hold. Let $\zeta = \eta + 1$ be a successor ordinal and suppose $\forall \alpha \leq \kappa$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ has been defined.

If $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ define $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ by induction on $\alpha \leq \kappa$ as follows.

- ▶ If $\alpha = 0$, let $\dot{U}_{0,\eta}$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{0,\eta}$ -name for an ultrafilter, $\dot{Q}_{0,\eta}$ a $\mathbb{P}_{0,\eta}$ -name for $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{0,\eta}}$ and let $\mathbb{P}_{0,\zeta} = \mathbb{P}_{0,\eta} * \dot{Q}_{0,\eta}$.
- ▶ If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}$ has been defined, by the ind. hyp. and Lemma C there is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name $\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$ for an ultrafilter such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}} \dot{U}_{\beta,\eta} \subseteq \dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$, every maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}}$ in $V_{\beta,\eta}$ is a maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}}$ and $(\star_{\mathcal{A}_{\beta}, \mathcal{A}_{\beta}}^{V_{\beta,\zeta}, V_{\beta+1,\zeta}})$ holds. Let $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\zeta} = \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\eta} * \mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}}$. In particular $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\zeta} \dot{<} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta}$.

- ▶ If α is limit and for all $\beta < \alpha$ $\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}$ has been defined (and so $\dot{Q}_{\beta,\eta} = \mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}}$) consider the following two cases.
 - ▶ If $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$, find a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name $\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$ for an ultrafilter such that for all $\beta < \alpha$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}} \dot{U}_{\beta,\eta} \subseteq \dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$ and every maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}}$ from $V_{\beta,\eta}$ is a maximal antichain of $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}}$ (in $V_{\alpha,\eta}$) and the relevant \star -property is preserved.
 - ▶ If $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$, then let $\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \dot{U}_{\beta,\eta}$. Let $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for $\mathbb{M}_{\dot{U}_{\alpha,\eta}}$ and let $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta} * \dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$.

If $\zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then

- ▶ for all $\alpha \leq f(\eta)$ let $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for the trivial poset
- ▶ for $\alpha > f(\eta)$ let $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ be a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$ -name for $\mathbb{D}^{V_{f(\eta),\eta}}$.

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta} * \dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$. Note that for all $\alpha, \beta \leq \kappa$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ is a complete suborder of $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,\zeta}$ and $(\star_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha, A_\alpha}^{V_{\alpha,\zeta}, V_{\alpha+1,\zeta}})$ holds for all α .

If ζ is a limit and for all $\eta < \zeta$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}$, $\dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta}$ have been defined, let $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ be the finite support iteration of $\langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\eta}, \dot{Q}_{\alpha,\eta} : \eta < \zeta \rangle$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\zeta} < \circ \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\zeta}$ and by Lemma E ($\star_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha, A_\alpha}^{V_{\alpha,\zeta}, V_{\alpha+1,\zeta}}$) holds.

Lemma

For $\zeta \leq \lambda$:

1. for every $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\kappa, \zeta}$ there is $\alpha < \kappa$ such that p belongs to $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \zeta}$,
2. for every $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa, \zeta}$ -name for a real \dot{f} there is $\alpha < \kappa$ such that \dot{f} is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ -name.

Lemma

$$V_{\kappa, \lambda} \models \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \lambda.$$

$\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \kappa}$ remains mad in $V_{\kappa, \lambda}$. Otherwise $\exists B \in V_{\kappa, \lambda} \cap [\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall \alpha < \kappa (|B \cap A_\alpha| < \omega)$. However there is $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $B \in V_{\alpha, \lambda} \cap [\omega]^\omega$ and $B \notin \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$. On the other hand $(\star_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1}}^{V_{\alpha, \lambda}, V_{\alpha+1, \lambda}})$ and so $|B \cap A_{\alpha+1}| = \omega$ (Lemma B) which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{a} \leq \kappa$.

Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V_{\kappa,\lambda} \cap {}^\omega\omega$ be of size $< \kappa$. Then there are $\alpha < \kappa$, $\zeta < \lambda$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V_{\alpha,\zeta}$. Since $\{\gamma : f(\gamma) = \alpha\}$ is cofinal in λ , there is $\zeta' > \zeta$ such that $f(\zeta') = \alpha$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha+1,\zeta'+1}$ adds a real dominating $V_{\alpha,\zeta'} \cap {}^\omega\omega$ (and so $V_{\alpha,\zeta} \cap {}^\omega\omega$ since $V_{\alpha,\zeta} \subseteq V_{\alpha,\zeta'}$). Thus \mathcal{B} is not unbounded. Therefore $V_{\kappa,\lambda} \Vdash \mathfrak{b} \geq \kappa$.

However $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}$ and so $V_{\kappa,\lambda} \Vdash \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = \kappa$.

To see that $V_{\kappa,\lambda} \models \mathfrak{s} = \lambda$, note that if $S \subseteq V_{\kappa,\lambda} \cap [\omega]^\omega$ is a family of cardinality $< \lambda$, then there is $\zeta < \lambda$ such that $\zeta = \eta + 1$, $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $S \subseteq V_{\kappa,\eta}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}_{\kappa,\eta}}$ adds a real not split by S and so S is not splitting.

Theorem (Brendle, F., 2011)

Let $\kappa < \lambda$ be arbitrary regular uncountable cardinals. Then there is a ccc generic extension in which $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \lambda$.

- ▶ Is it relatively consistent that $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{s}$?
- ▶ Is it relatively consistent that $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{s} < \mathfrak{a}$?
- ▶ It is relatively consistent that $\mathfrak{b} = \kappa < \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} = \lambda$ without the assumption of a measurable?
- ▶ How about $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{s} = \aleph_1 < \mathfrak{a} = \aleph_2$?

Thank you!