

Combinatorics and Projective Wellorders on the Reals

Vera Fischer

Kurt Gödel Research Center
University of Vienna

8 July 2010

Introduction

Localization

Coding with perfect trees

S -properness

Forcing a projective well-order of the reals and not CH

Cardinal Characteristics

General outline

Basic Definitions

ω -mad families

Results

Measure and Category

$\mathfrak{c} \geq \aleph_3$

Open questions

- ▶ definable wellorder of the reals
- ▶ cardinal characteristics of the reals

- ▶ To what extent the combinatorial properties of the real line (expressed in terms of cardinal characteristics) are compatible with the existence of a projective wellorder of the reals?
- ▶ What other 'natural' combinatorial objects on the reals are consistent with the existence of a projective wellorder of the reals?

Eventual dominance

If $f, g \in {}^\omega\omega$ then $f \leq^* g$ (g **dominates** f) if $\exists n \in \omega$ s.t.
 $\forall m \geq n (f(m) \leq g(m))$.

Bounding number

$\mathcal{B} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ is **unbounded** if there is no single function in ${}^\omega\omega$ which simultaneously dominates the elements of \mathcal{B} .

$$\mathfrak{b} = \min\{|\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{B} \text{ is unbounded}\}$$

Dominating number

$\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ is **dominating** if $\forall f \in {}^\omega\omega \exists g \in \mathcal{D}$ s.t. g dominates f .

$$\mathfrak{d} = \min\{|\mathcal{D}| : \mathcal{D} \text{ is dominating}\}$$

Splitting number

$S \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is **splitting** if $\forall A \in [\omega]^\omega \exists B \in S$ s.t.

$$|A \cap B| = |A \cap B^c| = \omega.$$

$$\mathfrak{s} = \min\{|S| : S \text{ is splitting}\}$$

- ▶ All cardinal characteristics have values between \aleph_1 and \mathfrak{c} .
That is if f is a cardinal characteristics then $\aleph_1 \leq f \leq \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ ZFC relations between the card. char. (e.g. $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{d}$)
- ▶ Independence (e.g. \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{s})

If $a, b \in [\omega]^\omega$, then a, b are almost disjoint if $a \cap b$ is finite.

mad families

An infinite $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is almost disjoint (a.d.) if its elements are pairwise almost disjoint; $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is maximal almost disjoint (m.a.d.) if it is maximal with respect to inclusion among a.d. families.

ω -mad families

If \mathcal{A} is a.d., let

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \{b \in [\omega]^\omega : b \text{ is not covered by finitely many } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. A m.a.d. family \mathcal{A} is ω -mad if $\forall B \in [\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})]^\omega$ there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|a \cap b| = \omega$ for all $b \in B$.

L. Harrington

The existence of Δ_3^1 -definable wellorder of the reals is consistent with \mathfrak{c} being as large as desired and MA.

S. Friedman

The existence of Δ_3^1 -definable wellorder of the reals is consistent with $\mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$ and MA.

Note that under MA all cardinal characteristics are equal to \mathfrak{c} .

Develop iteration techniques which allows one to separate certain cardinal characteristics in the presence of a projective wellorder.

V.F. - S.D. Friedman, 2009

- ▶ The existence of a Δ^1_3 -wellorder of the reals is relatively consistent with $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$.
- ▶ The existence of a Δ^1_3 -definable wellorder of the reals is relatively consistent with $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$.
- ▶ The existence of a Δ^1_3 -definable wellorder of the reals is relatively consistent with $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$.

Conjecture

Each admissible assignment of \aleph_1 and \aleph_2 to the cardinal invariants (associated with measure and category) in the [Cichón diagram](#), is relatively consistent with the existence of a projective wellorder of the reals.

There is general interest, however also major difficulties, in obtaining models in which the real line has desirable combinatorial properties and $\mathfrak{c} \geq \omega_3$.

V.F., S.D. Friedman, L. Zdomskyy, 2010

The existence of a Δ_3^1 -definable wellorder of the reals is consistent with $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c} = \omega_3$ and the existence of a Π_2^1 -definable ω -mad subfamily of infinite subsets of ω .

We expect that an application of Jensen's coding technique will lead to the same result with essentially arbitrary values for \mathfrak{c} .

- ▶ Is the existence of a Δ_3^1 -projective wellorder of the reals relatively consistent with MA in the presence of $\mathfrak{c} \geq \aleph_3$? (The iteration techniques from the previous theorem can take care only of Suslin posets).
- ▶ How about models, in which desired inequalities between cardinal characteristics of the real line hold, in the presence of a projective wellorder and $\mathfrak{c} \geq \aleph_3$? (In the model from the last theorem there is a major problem in bookkeeping families of reals of size $> \aleph_0$.)
- ▶ Definable cardinal characteristics.

Definition

A transitive ZF^- model \mathcal{M} is **suitable** if $\mathcal{M} \models \omega_2 = \omega_2^L$ exists.

Throughout this section work in some generic extension $L[G^*]$ of L in which cofinalities have not been changed.

Definition

Let $X \subseteq \omega_1$ and let $\phi(\omega_1, X)$ be a Σ_1 -sentence with parameters ω_1, X which is true in all suitable models containing ω_1 and X as elements. Let $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ be the poset of all $r : |r| \rightarrow 2$ where $|r|$ is a countable limit ordinal such that:

1. $\forall \gamma \in |r| (\gamma \in X \text{ iff } r(2\gamma) = 1)$
2. if $\gamma \leq |r|$, \mathcal{M} is a countable suitable model containing $r \upharpoonright \gamma$ as an element, where $\omega_1^{\mathcal{M}} = \gamma$, then $\phi(\gamma, X \cap \gamma)$ holds in \mathcal{M} .

The extension relation is end-extension.

$\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ is proper and does not add new reals. In fact $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ has a countably closed dense suborder.

Let $Y \subseteq \omega_1$ be generic over L such that in $L[Y]$ cofinalities have not been changed. Inductively define $\bar{\mu} = \{\mu_i\}_{i \in \omega_1}$ of L -countable ordinals as follows: μ_i is least $\mu > \sup_{j < i} \mu_j$ such that $L_\mu[Y \cap i] \models ZF^-$ and $L_\mu \models (\omega \text{ is the largest cardinal})$.

A real R codes Y below i if for all $j < i$

$$j \in Y \text{ iff } L_{\mu_j}[Y \cap j, R] \models ZF^-.$$

For $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ a perfect tree, let $|T| = \min\{i : T \in L_{\mu_i}[Y \cap i]\}$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ be the poset of all perfect trees T such that every branch R through T codes Y below $|T|$. Whenever T_0, T_1 are conditions in $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ let $T_0 \leq T_1$ iff $T_0 \subseteq T_1$.

$\mathcal{C}(Y)$ is proper and ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding.

Definition

Let $T \subseteq \omega_1$ be a stationary set. A poset \mathbb{Q} is *T-proper*, if for every countable elementary submodel \mathcal{M} of $H(\Theta)$, where Θ is a sufficiently large cardinal, such that $\mathcal{M} \cap \omega_1 \in T$, every condition $p \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{M}$ has an $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Q})$ -generic extension q .

- ▶ Let $S \subseteq \omega_1$ be a stationary, co-stationary set. Then $Q(S)$ is the poset of all countable closed subsets of $\omega_1 \setminus S$, with the end-extension as the extension relation. $Q(S)$ is $\omega_1 \setminus S$ -proper.
- ▶ S -proper posets preserve ω_1 and the stationarity of all stationary subsets of S . The countable support iteration of S -proper posets is S -proper.

Lemma

Assume CH. Let $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha : \alpha \leq \delta \rangle$ be a countable support iteration of length $\delta \leq \omega_2$ of S-proper posets of size ω_1 . Then \mathbb{P}_δ is \aleph_2 -c.c.

Lemma

Assume CH. Let $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha : \alpha \leq \delta \rangle$ be a countable support iteration of length $\delta < \omega_2$ of S-proper posets of size ω_1 . Then $V^{\mathbb{P}_\delta} \models \text{CH}$.

Lemma

There is $F : \omega_2 \rightarrow L_{\omega_2}$ definable over L_{ω_2} via a formula ϕ and a sequence $\bar{S} = (S_\beta : \beta < \omega_2)$ of almost disjoint stationary subsets of ω_1 definable over L_{ω_2} via a formula ψ such that $F^{-1}(a)$ is unbounded in ω_2 for every $a \in L_{\omega_2}$, and

- ▶ If \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are suitable models and $\omega_1^{\mathcal{M}} = \omega_1^{\mathcal{N}}$ then $F^{\mathcal{M}}, F^{\mathcal{N}}$ agree on $\omega_2^{\mathcal{M}} \cap \omega_2^{\mathcal{N}}$.
- ▶ If \mathcal{M} is suitable and $\omega_1^{\mathcal{M}} = \omega_1$ then $F^{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{S}^{\mathcal{M}}$ equal the restrictions of F, \bar{S} to the ω_2 of \mathcal{M} .

Proof.

Define $F(\alpha) = a$ iff via Gödel pairing α codes a pair (α_0, α_1) where a has rank α_0 in the natural wellorder of the sets in L . For the almost disjoint stationary sets, let $(D_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_1)$ be the canonical L_{ω_1} definable \diamond sequence, for each $\alpha < \omega_2$ let A_α be the L -least subset of ω_1 coding α and define S_α to be the set of all $i < \omega_1$ such that $D_i = A_\alpha \cap i$. □

Recursively define a countable support iteration $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$ such that $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ will be the desired poset.

- ▶ For $\alpha < \beta < \omega_2$ we can assume that all \mathbb{P}_α -names for reals precede in the canonical wellorder $<_L$ of L all \mathbb{P}_β -names for reals which are not \mathbb{P}_α names.
- ▶ For $\alpha < \omega_2$, define a wellorder $<_\alpha$ on the reals of $L[G_\alpha]$, where G_α is a \mathbb{P}_α -generic as follows. If x is a real in $L[G_\alpha]$ let σ_x^α be the $<_L$ -least \mathbb{P}_γ -name for x , where $\gamma \leq \alpha$. Then let $x <_\alpha y$ if and only if $\sigma_x^\alpha <_L \sigma_y^\alpha$.

- ▶ Note that $<_\alpha$ is an initial segment of $<_\beta$.

Then if G is a \mathbb{P} -generic filter, $<^G = \bigcup \{<_\alpha^G : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ will be the desired wellorder of the reals. Also, for x, y reals in $L[G_\alpha]$ such that $x <_\alpha y$ let $x * y = \{2n : n \in x\} \cup \{2n + 1 : n \in y\}$. Let S be a stationary set almost disjoint from every element of \bar{S} .

Proceed with the definition of \mathbb{P}_{ω_2} . Let \mathbb{P}_0 be the trivial poset. Suppose \mathbb{P}_α has been defined. Let $\dot{Q}_\alpha = \dot{Q}_\alpha^0 * \dot{Q}_\alpha^1$ be a \mathbb{P}_α -name for a poset such that \dot{Q}_α^0 is a \mathbb{P}_α -name for a proper forcing notion of size at most \aleph_1 and \dot{Q}_α^1 is defined as follows.

- ▶ If $F(\alpha)$ is not of the form $\{\sigma_x^\alpha, \sigma_y^\alpha\}$ for some reals x, y in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ then let \dot{Q}_α^1 be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha^0$ -name for the trivial poset.
- ▶ Otherwise $F(\alpha) = \{\sigma_x^\alpha, \sigma_y^\alpha\}$ for some reals $x <_\alpha y$ in $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$. Let $x_\alpha = x$, $y_\alpha = y$. Then let \dot{Q}_α^1 be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha^0$ -name for $\mathbb{K}_\alpha^0 * \mathbb{K}_\alpha^1 * \mathbb{K}_\alpha^2$ where:

Destroying stationary sets (\mathbb{K}_α^0)

In $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha^0}$ let \mathbb{K}_α^0 be the direct limit $\langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,n}^0, \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha,n}^0 : n \in \omega \rangle$, where $\dot{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha,n}^0$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,n}^0$ -name for $Q(S_{\alpha+2n})$ for $n \in x_\alpha * y_\alpha$, and $\dot{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha,n}^0$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,n}^0$ -name for $Q(S_{\alpha+2n+1})$ for $n \notin x_\alpha * y_\alpha$.

Localization (\mathbb{K}_α^1)

Let G_α^0 be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha^0$ -generic filter and let H_α be a \mathbb{K}_α^0 -generic over $L[G_\alpha^0]$. In $L[G_\alpha^0 * H_\alpha]$ let X_α be a subset of ω_1 , coding α , coding (x_α, y_α) , coding a level of L in which α has size at most ω_1 and coding the generic $G_\alpha^0 * H_\alpha$ which we can regard as a subset of an element of L_{ω_2} .

Then let $\mathbb{K}_\alpha^1 = \mathcal{L}(\phi_\alpha)$ where $\phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha(\omega_1, X_\alpha)$ is the Σ_1 -sentence which holds iff X_α codes an ordinal $\bar{\alpha} < \omega_2$ and a pair (x, y) such that $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n}$ is nonstationary for $n \in x * y$, $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n+1}$ is nonstationary for $n \notin x * y$. Let $\dot{\mathbb{K}}_\alpha^1$ be a $\mathbb{P}_\alpha^0 * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha^0 * \dot{\mathbb{K}}_\alpha^0$ -name for \mathbb{K}_α^1 .

Coding with Perfect Trees (\mathbb{K}_α^2)

Let Y_α be \mathbb{K}_α^1 -generic over $L[G_\alpha^0 * H_\alpha]$. Since Y_α codes X_α ,
 $L[G_\alpha^0 * H_\alpha * Y_\alpha] = L[Y_\alpha]$. Let $\mathbb{K}_\alpha^2 = \mathcal{C}(Y_\alpha)$. Let $\dot{\mathbb{K}}_\alpha^2$ be a
 $\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha^0 * \dot{\mathbb{K}}_\alpha^0 * \dot{\mathbb{K}}_\alpha^1$ -name for \mathbb{K}_α^2 .

With this the definition of $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha$ and so $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ is complete.

Lemma

\mathbb{P} is *S-proper* and ω_2 -c.c.

Lemma A

Let G be a \mathbb{P} -generic and let x, y be reals in $L[G]$. If $x < y$, then there is a real R such that for every countable suitable \mathcal{M} , $R \in \mathcal{M}$, there is $\bar{\alpha} < \omega_2^{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \in x * y$ and $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \notin x * y$.

Proof

Pick α such that $F(\alpha) = \{\sigma_x^\alpha, \sigma_y^\alpha\}$. Then $x_\alpha = x$, $y_\alpha = y$. Let G_α^0 be $\mathbb{P}_\alpha * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\alpha^0$ -generic, let H_α be \mathbb{K}_α^0 -generic over $L[G_\alpha^0]$, let Y_α be the \mathbb{K}_α^1 -generic over $L[G_\alpha^0 * H_\alpha]$, let R_α be the \mathbb{K}_α^2 -generic over $L[Y_\alpha]$.

Let \mathcal{M} be countable suitable, $R_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$. However R_α codes Y_α and so $Y_\alpha \upharpoonright \gamma \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\gamma = \omega_1^{\mathcal{M}}$. Then in particular $X_\alpha \cap \gamma \in \mathcal{M}$. By the properties of localization $\phi_\alpha(\gamma, X_\alpha \cap \gamma)$ holds in \mathcal{M} and so $\exists \bar{\alpha} < \omega_2^{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \in x * y$ and $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \notin x * y$. \square

Lemma B

Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic. Then for β not of the form $\alpha + 2n$, $n \in x_\alpha^G * y_\alpha^G$ and not of the form $\alpha + 2n + 1$, for $n \notin x_\alpha^G * y_\alpha^G$, the set S_β is stationary in $L[G]$.

Proof

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be a condition forcing that $\beta < \omega_2$ is not of the form $\alpha + 2n$, $n \in x_\alpha^G * y_\alpha^G$ and not of the form $\alpha + 2n + 1$, for $n \notin x_\alpha^G * y_\alpha^G$. Consider the forcing notion $\mathbb{P} \upharpoonright p$ which consists of all conditions in \mathbb{P} which extend p . Note that G is also $\mathbb{P} \upharpoonright p$ -generic. However $\mathbb{P} \upharpoonright p$ is S_β -proper and so S_β remains stationary in $L[G]$.

Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic and let x, y be reals in $L[G]$. Then

- (1) $x < y$ iff for some $\alpha < \omega_2$, $S_{\alpha+2n}$ is nonstationary for n in $x * y$ and $S_{\alpha+2n+1}$ is nonstationary for n not in $x * y$.
- (2) If $x < y$ then there is a real R such that for every countable suitable \mathcal{M} , $R \in \mathcal{M}$, there is $\bar{\alpha} < \omega_2^{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \in x * y$ and $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \notin x * y$.

Observation

(1) implies the converse of (2).

Let R be given. The conclusion of (2) holds for arbitrary suitable models and so it holds for $L_\Theta[R] = \mathcal{M}$ where Θ is large. Let $\alpha < \omega_2$ be the corresponding ordinal. As \bar{S} is definable over L_{ω_2} and $\Theta > \omega_2$, $S_\beta^{\mathcal{M}} = S_\beta$ for all $\beta < \omega_2$. Thus $S_{\alpha+2n}^{\mathcal{M}} = S_{\alpha+2n}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for n in $x * y$ and $S_{\alpha+2n+1}^{\mathcal{M}} = S_{\alpha+2n+1}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for n not in $x * y$. These sets are nonstationary in the larger model $L[G]$ and so by (1), we have $x < y$.

Therefore in $L[G]$, $<^G = \bigcup \{<_\alpha^G : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ has a Σ_3^1 definition.

$x < y$ iff there is a real R such that for every countable suitable \mathcal{M} , $R \in \mathcal{M}$, there is $\bar{\alpha} < \omega_2^{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \in x * y$ and $S_{\bar{\alpha}+2n+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is nonstationary in \mathcal{M} for $n \notin x * y$

It remains to observe that since $x \not<^G y$ is Π_3^1 and $<^G$ is a linear order, $<^G$ indeed has a Δ_3^1 definition.

Lemma

Let $S \subseteq \omega_1$ be a stationary set and let $\langle \mathbb{P}_i, \dot{Q}_i : i < \delta \rangle$ be a countable support iteration of length $\delta \leq \omega_2$ of S-proper, ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding posets. Then \mathbb{P}_δ is ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding and S-proper.

Observation

For all $\alpha < \omega_2$,

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha} \dot{Q}_\alpha^1$ is S -proper and ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding.

Theorem

It is consistent with $\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$ that there is a Δ_3^1 wellorder of the reals.

Proof.

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ be defined just as $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ with the additional requirement that \dot{Q}_α^0 is a \mathbb{P}_α -name for the **trivial poset**. Let G be $\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ -generic. Since destroying stationary sets, localization and coding with perfect trees are ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is **weakly bounding**. Then $L[G] \models \mathfrak{d} = \omega_1 < \mathfrak{c} = \omega_2$. □

$$\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$$

$$\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}$$

Theorem

It is consistent with $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{g}$ that there is a Δ_3^1 wellorder of the reals.

Proof.

Let \mathbb{P}_M be defined just as $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ with the additional requirement that \dot{Q}_α^0 is a \mathbb{P}_α -name for **Miller forcing** \mathbb{M} . Since \mathbb{M} is almost ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding, \mathbb{P}_M is weakly bounding. The Miller real has supersets in all groupwise dense families from the ground model, and so if G is \mathbb{P}_S -generic, $L[G] \models \mathfrak{b} = \omega_1 < \mathfrak{g} = \omega_2$. □

$$\delta < c$$

$$b < g$$

$$b < a = s$$

Theorem

It is consistent with $b < s = a$ that there is a Δ_3^1 definable wellorder of the reals.

Proof

Let Q be an almost ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding poset which adds a real not split by the ground model reals. By a result of S. Shelah if $V \models CH$ and \mathcal{A} is a mad family in V , then in $V_1 = V^{\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)}$ there is an almost ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding poset which destroys the maximality of \mathcal{A} .

Let F_0 be a bookkeeping function, $\text{dom}(F_0) = \omega_2$ such that every relevant name for a mad family is enumerated cofinally often. Let \mathbb{P}_Q be defined just as \mathbb{P} with the additional requirement that

$$\mathbb{Q}_\alpha^0 = \mathbb{H}_\alpha^0 * \dot{\mathbb{H}}_\alpha^1 * \dot{\mathbb{H}}_\alpha^2 \text{ where}$$

- ▶ \mathbb{H}_α^0 adds ω_1 Cohen reals.
- ▶ If $F_0(\alpha)$ is a \mathbb{P}_α -name for a mad family then \mathbb{H}_α^1 is an almost ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding poset which destroys its maximality. If $F_0(\alpha)$ is not a \mathbb{P}_α -name for a mad family then \mathbb{H}_α^1 is the trivial poset.
- ▶ \mathbb{H}_α^2 is Shelah's poset Q .

Let G be \mathbb{P}_Q -generic.

- ▶ Cohen forcing, Q and the posets used to kill mad families are almost ${}^\omega\omega$ -bounding. Thus \mathbb{P}_Q is weakly bounding and so $L[G] \models \mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$.
- ▶ Let $W \subseteq L[G] \cap [\omega]^\omega$, $|W| = \omega_1$. Then $W \subseteq L[G_\alpha]$ for some $\alpha < \omega_2$. However \mathbb{H}_α^2 adds a real not split by W and so $L[G] \models \mathfrak{s} = \omega_2$

- ▶ Suppose \mathcal{A} is a mad family in $L[G]$, $|\mathcal{A}| = \omega_1$. Since $F_0^{-1}(\dot{\mathcal{A}})$ is unbounded there is $\beta \geq \alpha$ with $F_0(\beta) = \dot{\mathcal{A}}$. Then \mathbb{H}_α^1 destroys the maximality of \mathcal{A} and so $L[G_{\beta+1}] \models \mathcal{A}$ is not mad, which is a contradiction. Thus $L[G] \models a = \omega_2$.

$$\mathfrak{d} < \mathfrak{c}$$

$$\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}$$

1. Which other inequalities between the standard cardinal characteristics of the real line are consistent with the existence of a projective wellorder of the reals?
2. What is the complexity in the projective hierarchy of the witnesses of the corresponding cardinal characteristics in these models?

$$\delta < c$$

$$b < g$$

$$b < a = s$$

A family $D \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is *groupwise dense* if

1. if $X \in D$ and $Y \setminus X$ is finite, then $Y \in D$
2. if Π is a family of infinitely many pairwise disjoint finite subsets of ω , the union of some subfamily of Π is in D .

The groupwise density number \mathfrak{g} is the minimal κ such that for some family \mathcal{D} of κ -many groupwise dense families, $\bigcap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$